


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

No. 34,306

ROSE GRIEGO and KIMBERLY KIEL,
MIRIAM RAND and ONA LARA PORTER,
A.D. JOPLIN and GREG GOMEZ,
THERESE CONCILOR and TANYA STRUBLE,
MONICA LEAMING and CECELIA TAULBEE, and
JEN ROPER and ANGELIQUE NEUMAN,

SUPREME COURT OF NEW MEXICO
FILED

SEP 23 2013



Plaintiffs-Real Parties in Interest,

v.

MAGGIE TOULOUSE OLIVER,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Bernalillo County, and
GERALIDINE SALAZAR,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Santa Fe County,

Defendants-Real Parties in Interest,

and

STATE OF NEW MEXICO, ex rel.,
NEW MEXICO ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES,
as the collective and organizational representative of
New Mexico's thirty-three (33) Counties, and
M. KEITH RIDDLE,
in his official capacity as Clerk of Catron County,
DAVE KUNKO,
in his official capacity as Clerk of Chaves County,
ELISA BRO,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Cibola County,
FREDA L. BACA,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Colfax County,
ROSALIE L. RILEY,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Curry County,
ROSALIE A. GONZALES-JOINER,
in her official capacity as Clerk of De Baca County,
LYNN J. ELLINS,

in his official capacity as Clerk of Dona Ana County,
DARLENE ROSPRIM,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Eddy County,
ROBERT ZAMARRIPA,
in his official capacity as Clerk of Grant County,
PATRICK Z. MARTINEZ,
in his official capacity as Clerk of Guadalupe County.
BARBARA L. SHAW,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Harding County,
MELISSA K. DE LA GARZA,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Hidalgo County,
PAT SNIPES CHAPPELLE,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Lea County,
RHONDA B. BURROWS,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Lincoln County,
SHARON STOVER,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Los Alamos County,
ANDREA RODRIGUEZ,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Luna County,
HARRIETT K. BECENTI,
in her official capacity as Clerk of McKinley County,
JOANNE PADILLA,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Mora County,
DENISE Y. GUERRA,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Otero County,
VERONICA OLGUIN MAREZ,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Quay County,
MOISES A. MORALES, JR.,
in his official capacity as Clerk of Rio Arriba County,
DONNA J. CARPENTER,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Roosevelt County,
DEBBIE A. HOLMES,
in her official capacity as Clerk of San Juan County,
MELANIE Y. RIVERA,
in her official capacity as Clerk of San Miguel County,
EILEEN MORENO GARBAGNI,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Sandoval County,
CONNIE GREER,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Sierra County,
REBECCA VEGA,

in her official capacity as Clerk of Socorro County,
ANNA MARTINEZ,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Taos County,
LINDA JARAMILLO,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Torrance County,
MARY LOU HARKINS,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Union County,
PEGGY CARABAJAL,
in her official capacity as Clerk of Valencia County,

Intervenors-Petitioners,

and

HON. ALAN M. MALOTT,

Respondent.

Brief of the American Psychological Association, New Mexico Psychological Association, National Association of Social Workers, National Association of Social Workers New Mexico, and New Mexico Pediatric Society, as *Amici Curiae* in Support of Plaintiffs-Real Parties in Interest

Paul M. Smith
JENNER & BLOCK LLP
1099 New York Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 639-6000
Psmith@jenner.com

Sarah E. Bennett
ROTHSTEIN, DONATELLI,
HUGHES, DAHLSTROM,
SCHOENBURG &
BIENVENU LLP
Post Office Box 8180
1215 Paseo de Peralta
Santa Fe, NM 87504-8180
(505) 988-8004
seb@rothsteinlaw.com

Caren I. Friedman
ATTORNEY AT LAW
7 Avenida Vista Grande
#311
Santa Fe, NM 87508
(505) 466-6418
cf@appellatecounsel.info

Counsel for Amici Curiae American Psychological Association, New Mexico Psychological Association, National Association of Social Workers, National Association of Social Workers New Mexico, and New Mexico Pediatric Society

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----|
| STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE..... | ii |
| TABLE OF AUTHORITIES | iii |
| IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF <i>AMICI CURIAE</i> | 1 |
| INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY | 3 |
| ARGUMENT | 4 |
| I. The Scientific Evidence Presented in This Brief..... | 4 |
| II. Homosexuality Is a Normal Expression of Human Sexuality, Is Generally Not Chosen, and Is Highly Resistant to Change..... | 6 |
| III. Sexual Orientation and Relationships..... | 9 |
| A. Gay and Lesbian People Form Stable, Committed Relationships That Are Equivalent to Heterosexual Relationships in Essential Respects..... | 10 |
| B. The Institution of Marriage Offers Social, Psychological, and Health Benefits That Are Denied to Same-Sex Couples. | 12 |
| IV. The Children of Same Sex Couples..... | 17 |
| A. Many Same-Sex Couples Are Raising Children..... | 17 |
| B. The Factors That Affect the Adjustment of Children Are Not Dependent on Parental Gender or Sexual Orientation. | 17 |
| C. There Is No Scientific Basis for Concluding That Same-Sex Couples Are Any Less Fit or Capable Parents Than Heterosexual Couples, or That Their Children Are Any Less Psychologically Healthy and Well Adjusted..... | 21 |
| V. Denying the Status of Marriage to Same-Sex Couples Stigmatizes Them. | 29 |
| CONCLUSION..... | 32 |

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

This amicus brief complies with the type-volume limitations referenced in Rule 12-215(D), NMRA, because it is prepared in 14-point Times New Roman, and the body of the brief contains 7,575 words, according to Microsoft Word.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| | Page(s) |
|--|----------|
| CASES | |
| <i>Baker v. Wade</i> , 106 F.R.D. 526 (N.D. Tex. 1985)..... | 26 |
| TEXTS, TREATISES, AND OTHER AUTHORITIES | |
| 2010 Census and 2010 American Community Survey, Same-Sex Unmarried Partner or Spouse Households by Sex of Householder by Presence of Own Children, <i>available at</i> http://www.census.gov/hhes/samesex/files/supp-table-AFF.xls | 11,16-17 |
| J. M. Adams & W.H. Jones, <i>The Conceptualization of Marital Commitment: An Integrative Analysis</i> , 72 J. Personality & Soc. Psychol. 1177 (1997)..... | 15 |
| P.R. Amato, <i>Children of Divorce in the 1990s: An Update of the Amato and Keith (1991) Meta-Analysis</i> , 15 J. Fam. Psychol. 355 (2001)..... | 18,26 |
| Am. Ass'n for Marriage and Fam. Therapy, <i>Reparative/Conversion Therapy</i> (2009), <i>available at</i> http://www.aamft.org/iMIS15/AAMFT/MFT_Resources/Content/Resources/Position_On_Couples.aspx | 8-9 |
| Am. Med. Ass'n, Policy H-60.940, <i>Partner Co-Adoption</i> , <i>available at</i> http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/about-ama/our-people/member-groups-sections/glb-t-advisory-committee/ama-policy-regarding-sexual-orientation.page | 27 |
| Am. Med. Ass'n, Policy H-65.973, <i>Health Care Disparities in Same-Sex Partner Households</i> , <i>available at</i> http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/about-ama/our-people/member-groups-sections/glb-t-advisory-committee/ama-policy-regarding-sexual-orientation.page | 28 |
| Am. Med. Ass'n, Policy H-160.991, <i>Health Care Needs of the Homosexual Population</i> , <i>available at</i> http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/about-ama/our-people/member-groups-sections/glb-t-advisory-committee/ama-policy-regarding-sexual-orientation.page | 9 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Am. Psychiatric Ass’n, <i>Position Statement on Homosexuality and Civil Rights</i> (1973), in 131 Am. J. Psychiatry 497 (1974)..... | 7 |
| Am. Psychiatric Ass’n, <i>Position Statement: Psychiatric Treatment and Sexual Orientation</i> (1998) available at http://www.psych.org/Departments/EDU/Library/APAOfficialDocumentsandRelated/PositionStatements/199820.aspx | 8 |
| Am. Psychiatric Ass’n, <i>Position Statement: Support of Legal Recognition of Same-Sex Civil Marriage</i> (2005), available at http://www.psych.org/edu/other_res/lib_archives/archives/200502.pdf | 27 |
| Am. Psychoanalytic Ass’n, <i>Position Statement: Attempts to Change Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, or Gender Expression</i> (2012), available at http://www.apsa.org/about_apsaa/position_statements/attempts_to_change_sexual_orientation.aspx | 9 |
| Am. Psychoanalytic Ass’n, <i>Position Statement: Parenting</i> (2012), available at http://www.apsa.org/about_apsaa/position_statements/parenting.aspx | 27 |
| Am. Psychol. Ass’n, <i>Minutes of the Annual Meeting of the Council of Representatives</i> , 30 Am. Psychologist 620 (1975)..... | 7 |
| Am. Psychol. Ass’n, <i>Report of the American Psychological Association Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation</i> (2009), available at http://www.apa.org/pi/lgbt/resources/sexual-orientation.aspx | 8 |
| Am. Psychol. Ass’n, <i>Resolution on Appropriate Affirmative Responses to Sexual Orientation Distress and Change Efforts</i> (2009), available at http://www.apa.org/pi/lgbt/resources/sexual-orientation.aspx | 8 |
| Am. Psychol. Ass’n, <i>Resolution on Marriage Equality For Same-Sex Couples</i> (2011), available at http://www.apa.org/about/policy/same-sex.pdf | 1 |
| Am. Psychol. Ass’n, <i>Resolution on Sexual Orientation, Parents and Children</i> (2004)..... | 26 |

| | |
|---|-------|
| N. Anderssen et al., <i>Outcomes for Children with Lesbian or Gay Parents</i> , 43 Scand. J. Psychol. 335 (2002)..... | 21 |
| M.V.L. Badgett, <i>Money, Myths, and Change: The Economic Lives of Lesbians and Gay Men</i> (2001)..... | 29 |
| K.F. Balsam et al., <i>Three-Year Follow-Up of Same-Sex Couples Who Had Civil Unions in Vermont, Same-Sex Couples Not in Civil Unions, and Heterosexual Married Couples</i> , 44 <i>Developmental Psychol.</i> 102 (2008)..... | 12 |
| P. Berger & H. Kellner, <i>Marriage and the Construction of Reality: An Exercise in the Microsociology of Knowledge</i> , 46 <i>Diogenes</i> 1 (1964) | 12 |
| T.J. Biblarz & J. Stacey, <i>How does the gender of parents matter?</i> , 72 <i>J. Marriage & Fam.</i> 3 (2010)..... | 20,22 |
| H. Bos et al, <i>Civic Competence of Dutch Children in Female Same-Sex Parent Families: A Comparison with Children of Opposite-Sex Parents</i> , <i>Youth & Society</i> (2013) | 22 |
| H. Bos & T.G.M. Sandfort, <i>Children's Gender Identity in Lesbian and Heterosexual Two-Parent Families</i> , 62 <i>Sex Roles</i> 114 (2010)..... | 21,24 |
| S.L. Brown, <i>The Effect of Union Type on Psychological Well-Being: Depression Among Cohabitators Versus Marrieds</i> , 41 <i>J. Health & Soc. Behav.</i> 241 (2000)..... | 14 |
| R.P.D. Burton, <i>Global Integrative Meaning as a Mediating Factor in the Relationship Between Social Roles and Psychological Distress</i> , 39 <i>J. Health & Soc. Behav.</i> 201 (1998)..... | 12-13 |
| R.W. Chan, B. Raboy & C.J. Patterson, <i>Psychological Adjustment Among Children Conceived via Donor Insemination by Lesbian and Heterosexual Mothers</i> , 69 <i>Child Dev.</i> 443 (1998) | 19,20 |
| S.D. Cochran et al., <i>Prevalence of Mental Disorders, Psychological Distress, and Mental Health Services Use Among Lesbian, Gay, and</i> | |

| | |
|---|-------|
| <i>Bisexual Adults in the United States</i> , 71 J. Consulting & Clinical Psychol. 53 (2003)..... | 11 |
| <i>Consequences of Growing Up Poor</i> (G.J. Duncan & J. Brooks-Gunn eds., 1997)..... | 19-20 |
| J. Crocker et al., <i>Social Stigma</i> , in 2 The Handbook of Social Psychology 504 (D.T. Gilbert et al. eds., 4th ed. 1998)..... | 28 |
| E.M. Cummings, M.C. Goeke-Morey & L.M. Papp, <i>Children's Responses to Everyday Marital Conflict Tactics in the Home</i> , 74 Child Dev. 1918 (2003)..... | 19 |
| E.M. Cummings, M.C. Goeke-Morey & L.M. Papp, <i>Everyday Marital Conflict and Child Aggression</i> , 32 J. Abnormal Child Psychol. 191 (2004)..... | 19 |
| A.R. D'Augelli et al., <i>Lesbian and Gay Youths' Aspirations for Marriage and Raising Children</i> , 1 J. of LGBT Issues in Counseling 77 (2008) | 10 |
| A.R. D'Augelli, <i>Sexual Orientation</i> , in 7 Am. Psychol. Ass'n, <i>Encyclopedia of Psychology</i> 260 (A.E. Kazdin ed., 2000) | 6 |
| E. Durkheim, <i>Suicide: A Study in Sociology</i> (J.A. Spaulding & G. Simpson trans., Glencoe, Ill: Free Press 1951) (original work published 1897) | 12 |
| S. Erich, et al., <i>A comparative analysis of adoptive family functioning with gay, lesbian, and heterosexual parents and their children</i> , 1 J. of GLBT Family Studies 43 (2005)..... | 21 |
| S. Erich et al., <i>Gay and lesbian adoptive families: An exploratory study of family functioning, adoptive child's behavior, and familial support networks</i> , 9 J. of Family Social Work 17 (2005)..... | 21 |
| <i>Family Context of Parenting in Children's Adaptation to Elementary School</i> (P.A. Cowan, C.P. Cowan, J.C. Ablow, V.K. Johnson & J.R. Measelle eds., 2005)..... | 18 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| R.H. Farr et al., <i>Parenting and Child Development in Adoptive Families: Does Parental Sexual Orientation Matter?</i> , 14 <i>Applied Developmental Sci.</i> 164 (2010) | 21,24,25 |
| B.L. Frankowski, <i>Sexual Orientation and Adolescents</i> , 113 <i>Pediatrics</i> 1827 (2004)..... | 9 |
| M. Fulcher et al., <i>Individual Differences in Gender Development: Associations with Parental Sexual Orientation, Attitudes, and Division of Labor</i> , 58 <i>Sex Roles</i> 330 (2008)..... | 24 |
| E. Goffman, <i>Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity</i> (1963)..... | 28 |
| A.E. Goldberg et al., <i>Gender-Typed Play Behavior in Early Childhood: Adopted Children with Lesbian, Gay, and Heterosexual Parents</i> , 67 <i>Sex Roles</i> 503 (2012)..... | 24,25 |
| A.E. Goldberg, <i>Lesbian and Gay Parents and Their Children: Research on the Family Life Cycle</i> (2010)..... | 20, 24,25 |
| S. Golombok et al., <i>Children with Lesbian Parents: A Community Study</i> , 39 <i>Dev. Psychol.</i> 20 (2003) | 21 |
| S. Golombok & F. Tasker, <i>Do Parents Influence the Sexual Orientation of Their Children? Findings from a Longitudinal Study of Lesbian Families</i> , 32 <i>Developmental Psychology</i> 3 (1996) | 25 |
| S. Golombok, <i>Parenting: What Really Counts?</i> (2002) | 17,19,25 |
| C. Gonsiorek, <i>The Empirical Basis for the Demise of the Illness Model of Homosexuality</i> , in <i>Homosexuality: Research Implications for Public Policy</i> (J.C. Gonsiorek & J.D. Weinrich eds., 1991)..... | 7 |
| W.R. Gove et al., <i>Does Marriage Have Positive Effects on the Psychological Well-Being of the Individual?</i> , 24 <i>J. Health & Soc. Behav.</i> 122 (1983) | 15 |
| W.R. Gove et al., <i>The Effect of Marriage on the Well-Being of Adults: A Theoretical Analysis</i> , 11 <i>J. Fam. Issues</i> 4 (1990)..... | 12,13,14 |

| | |
|---|---------|
| R. Green et al., <i>Lesbian Mothers and Their Children: A Comparison with Solo Parent Heterosexual Mothers and Their Children</i> , 15 Archives of Sexual Behavior 167 (1986)..... | 24,25 |
| T.B. Heaton & S.L. Albrecht, <i>Stable Unhappy Marriages</i> , 53 J. Marriage & Fam. 747 (1991)..... | 16 |
| G.M. Herek, <i>Bad Science in the Service of Stigma: A Critique of the Cameron Group's Survey Studies</i> , in <i>Stigma and Sexual Orientation: Understanding Prejudice Against Lesbians, Gay Men, and Bisexuals</i> 223 (G.M. Herek ed., 1998)..... | 26 |
| G. Herek, <i>Demographic, Psychological, and Social Characteristics of Self-Identified Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Adults in a US Probability Sample</i> , Sex Res. Soc. Policy (2010)..... | 8,10,11 |
| G.M. Herek, <i>Hate Crimes and Stigma-Related Experiences Among Sexual Minority Adults in the United States: Prevalence Estimates from a National Probability Sample</i> , 24 J. Interpersonal Violence 54 (2009) | 29 |
| G.M. Herek, <i>Homosexuality</i> , in 2 <i>Corsini Encyclopedia of Psychology</i> 774-76 (I.B. Weiner & W.E. Craighead eds., 4th ed. 2010)..... | 6 |
| G.M. Herek et al., <i>Psychological Sequelae of Hate-Crime Victimization Among Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Adults</i> , 67 J. Consulting & Clinical Psychol. 945, 948 (1999) | 29 |
| G. Herek et al., <i>Internalized Stigma Among Sexual Minority Adults</i> , 56 J. Counseling Psychol. 32 (2009)..... | 8 |
| E. Hooker, <i>The Adjustment of the Male Overt Homosexual</i> , 21 J. Projective Techniques 17 (1957) | 7 |
| M. Hotvedt & J.B. Mandel, <i>Children of Lesbian Mothers</i> , in <i>Homosexuality: Social, Psychological, and Biological Issues</i> 275 (W. Paul et al. eds., 1982) | 24,25 |
| Institute of Medicine, <i>The Health of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender People</i> (2011) | 6 |

N.J. Johnson et al., *Marital Status and Mortality: The National Longitudinal Mortality Study*, 10 *Annals Epidemiology* 224 (2000)..... 14

Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation, *Inside-OUT: A Report on the Experiences of Lesbians, Gays and Bisexuals in America and the Public's Views on Issues and Policies Related to Sexual Orientation* 31 (2001), available at <http://www.kff.org/kaiserpolls/upload/National-Surveys-on-Experiences-of-Lesbians-Gays-and-Bisexuals-and-the-Public-s-Views-Related-to-Sexual-Orientation.pdf>..... 10,11

J.K. Kiecolt-Glaser & T.L. Newton, *Marriage and Health: His and Hers*, 127 *Psychol. Bull.* 472 (2001) 15

L.A. Kurdek, *Are Gay and Lesbian Cohabiting Couples Really Different from Heterosexual Married Couples?*, 66 *J. Marriage & Fam.* 880 (2004)..... 11

L.A. Kurdek, *Change in Relationship Quality for Partners from Lesbian, Gay Male, and Heterosexual Couples*, 22 *J. of Fam. Psychol.* 701 (2008)..... 11,12

L.A. Kurdek, *Relationship Outcomes and their Predictors: Longitudinal Evidence from Heterosexual Married, Gay Cohabiting, and Lesbian Cohabiting Couples*, 60 *J. Marriage & Fam.* 553 (1998)..... 16

L.A. Kurdek, *What do we know about gay and lesbian couples?* 14 *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 251 (2005)..... 11

M.E. Lamb, *Mothers, Fathers, Families, and Circumstances: Factors Affecting Children's Adjustment*, 16 *Applied Developmental Sci.* 98 (2012)..... 17

M.E. Lamb & C. Lewis, *The Role of Parent-Child Relationships in Child Development*, in *Developmental Science: An Advanced Textbook* 429 (M.H. Bornstein & M.E. Lamb eds., 5th ed. 2005)..... 17,18

G. Levinger, *Marital Cohesiveness and Dissolution: An Integrative Review*, 27 *J. Marriage & Fam.* 19 (1965) 15

| | |
|---|----------|
| B.G. Link & J.C. Phelan, <i>Conceptualizing Stigma</i> , 27 <i>Ann. Rev. Soc.</i> 363 (2001)..... | 28 |
| S. McLanahan & G. Sandefur, <i>Growing Up With a Single Parent: What Hurts, What Helps</i> 39 (1994)..... | 19 |
| T.C. Mills et al., <i>Health-Related Characteristics of Men Who Have Sex with Men: A Comparison of Those Living in “Gay Ghettos” with Those Living Elsewhere</i> , 91 <i>Am. J. Pub. Health</i> 980 (2001)..... | 11 |
| J.E. Murray, <i>Marital Protection and Marital Selection: Evidence from a Historical-Prospective Sample of American Men</i> , 37 <i>Demography</i> 511 (2000)..... | 14 |
| Nat’l Ass’n of Soc. Workers, <i>Policy Statement: Family Planning and Reproductive Choice</i> , in <i>Social Work Speaks</i> 129 (9th ed. 2012) | 27 |
| Nat’l Ass’n of Soc. Workers, <i>Policy Statement: Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Issues</i> , in <i>Social World Speaks</i> 193 (4th ed. 1997)..... | 27 |
| Nat’l Ass’n of Social Workers, <i>Position Statement: “Reparative” and “Conversion” Therapies for Lesbians and Gay Men</i> (2000), available at http://www.naswdc.org/diversity/lgb/reparative.asp | 9 |
| <i>Neighborhood Poverty: Context and Consequences for Children</i> (J. Brooks-Gunn, G.J. Duncan & J.L. Aber eds., 1997)..... | 19 |
| NMSA 1978, Chapter 40, Article I..... | 3 |
| S.L. Nock, <i>A Comparison of Marriages and Cohabiting Relationships</i> , 16 <i>J. Fam. Issues</i> 53 (1995)..... | 13 |
| C.J. Patterson, <i>Children of Lesbian and Gay Parents, Psychology, Law, and Policy</i> , 64 <i>Am. Psychologist</i> 727 (2009)..... | 20 |
| C.J. Patterson, <i>Family Lives of Lesbian and Gay Adults</i> , in <i>The Handbook of Marriage and Family</i> 659 (G.W. Peterson & K.R. Bush eds. 2013) | 20,24,25 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| C.J. Patterson, <i>Family Relationships of Lesbians and Gay Men</i> , 62 J. Marriage & Fam. 1052 (2000)..... | 21 |
| C.J. Patterson, <i>Gay Fathers</i> , in <i>The Role of the Father in Child Development</i> (M.E. Lamb ed., 4th ed. 2004)..... | 21 |
| C.J. Patterson, <i>Lesbian and Gay Parents and Their Children: A Social Science Perspective</i> , in <i>Contemporary Perspectives on Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Identities</i> , Nebraska Symposium on Motivation 141 (D.A. Hope ed., 2009)..... | 20 |
| C.J. Patterson, & P.D. Hastings, <i>Socialization in the Context of Family Diversity</i> , in <i>Handbook of Socialization: Theory and Research</i> 328-51 (J.E. Grusec & P.D. Hastings eds., 2007)..... | 17,18,20 |
| L.A. Peplau & A.W. Fingerhut, <i>The Close Relationships of Lesbians and Gay Men</i> , 58 Ann. Review of Psych. 405 (2007)..... | 10,12 |
| L.A. Peplau & A.W. Fingerhut, <i>Same-sex Romantic Relationships</i> , in <i>Handbook of Psychology and Sexual Orientation</i> 165-178 (C.J. Patterson & A.R. D'Augelli eds. 2013)..... | 10,12 |
| L.A. Peplau & N. Ghavami, <i>Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Relationships</i> , in <i>Encyclopedia of Human Relationships</i> (H.T. Reis & S. Sprecher eds., 2009) | 10,12 |
| L.A. Peplau & K.P. Beals, <i>The Family Lives of Lesbians and Gay Men</i> , in <i>Handbook of Family Communication</i> 233 (A.L. Vangelisti ed., 2004) | 12 |
| E.C. Perrin & Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health, <i>Technical Report: Coparent or Second-Parent Adoption by Same-Sex Parents</i> , 109 Pediatrics 341 (2002)..... | 20-21,24 |
| D. Potter, <i>Same-Sex Parent Families and Children's Academic Achievement</i> , 74 J. Marriage & Fam. 556 (2012) | 19,20, 23 |
| D. Previti & P.R. Amato, <i>Why Stay Married? Rewards, Barriers, and Marital Stability</i> , 65 J. Marriage & Fam. 561 (2003) | 15 |
| Report of National Academy of Sciences' Institute of Medicine (2011)..... | 22 |

| | |
|---|----------|
| B.F. Riess, <i>Psychological Tests in Homosexuality, in Homosexual Behavior: A Modern Reappraisal</i> (J. Marmor ed., 1980) | 7 |
| I. Rivers, et al., <i>Victimization, Social Support, and Psychosocial Functioning Among Children of Same-Sex and Opposite-Sex Couples in the United Kingdom</i> , 44 <i>Developmental Psychology</i> 127 (2008)..... | 21 |
| G.I. Roisman et al., <i>Adult Romantic Relationships as Contexts for Human Development: A Multimethod Comparison of Same-Sex Couples with Opposite-Sex Dating, Engaged, and Married Dyads</i> , 44 <i>Developmental Psychol.</i> 91 (2008)..... | 11 |
| M.J. Rosenfeld, <i>Nontraditional Families & Childhood Progress Through School</i> , 47 <i>Demography</i> , 755 (2010)..... | 19,20,24 |
| C.E. Ross et al., <i>The Impact of the Family on Health: The Decade in Review</i> , 52 <i>J. Marriage Fam.</i> 1059 (1990)..... | 14 |
| C.E. Ross, <i>Reconceptualizing Marital Status as a Continuum of Social Attachment</i> , 57 <i>J. Marriage & Fam.</i> 129 (1995)..... | 14 |
| S. Sarantakos, <i>Children in Three Contexts: Family, Education, and Social Development</i> , 21 <i>Child. Australia</i> 23 (1996)..... | 26 |
| R.W. Simon, <i>Revisiting the Relationships Among Gender, Marital Status, and Mental Health</i> , 107 <i>Am. J. Soc.</i> 1065 (2002)..... | 14 |
| J. Stacey & T.J. Biblarz, <i>(How) Does the Sexual Orientation of Parents Matter?</i> , 66 <i>Am. Soc. Rev.</i> 159 (2001) | 20,22 |
| S. Stack & J.R. Eshleman, <i>Marital Status and Happiness: A 17-Nation Study</i> , 60 <i>J. Marriage & Fam.</i> 527 (1998) | 12 |
| E.L. Sutfin et al. <i>How Lesbian and Heterosexual Parents Convey Attitudes About Gender to Their Children: The Role of Gendered Environments</i> , 58 <i>Sex Roles</i> 501 (2008) | 22,24 |

| | |
|---|-------|
| C.J. Telingator & C.J. Patterson, <i>Children and Adolescents of Lesbian and Gay Parents</i> , 47 J. Am. Acad. of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry 1364 (2008)..... | 20 |
| J.L. Wainright & C.J. Patterson, <i>Delinquency, Victimization, and Substance Use Among Adolescents with Female Same-Sex Parents</i> , 20 J. Fam. Psychology 526 (2006) | 21,23 |
| J.L. Wainright & C.J. Patterson, <i>Peer Relations Among Adolescents with Female Same-Sex Parents</i> , 44 Developmental Psychology 117 (2008) | 23 |
| J.L. Wainright et al, <i>Psychosocial Adjustment, School Outcomes, and Romantic Relationships of Adolescents with Same-Sex Parents</i> , 75 Child Dev. 1886 (2004) | 20,23 |
| L.K. White & A. Booth, <i>Divorce Over the Life Course: The Role of Marital Happiness</i> , 12 J. Fam. Issues 5 (1991) | 16 |
| R.G. Wight et al, <i>Same-Sex Legal Marriage and Psychological Well-Being: Findings from the California Health Interview Survey</i> , Am. J. of Pub. Health 103 (2), 339-346 (2013) | 13 |
| K. Williams, <i>Has the Future of Marriage Arrived? A Contemporary Examination of Gender, Marriage, and Psychological Well-Being</i> , 44 J. Health Soc. Behav. 470 (2003) | 15 |

IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF *AMICI CURIAE*¹

The American Psychological Association, the world's largest professional association of psychologists, is a scientific and educational organization dedicated to increasing and disseminating psychological knowledge. The Association has adopted multiple research-based policy statements supporting the rights of gay and lesbian people, including a 2011 policy statement supporting full marriage equality and recognizing that according gay and lesbian people only a "civil union" status "perpetuates the stigma historically attached to homosexuality, and reinforces prejudice against lesbian, gay, and bisexual people."²

The New Mexico Psychological Association (NMPA) is the New Mexico affiliate of the American Psychological Association. NMPA, a nonprofit association formed in 1957, is the principle professional organization for psychologists in the state of New Mexico. It has maintained its Albuquerque office and chapters and divisions throughout the state for over 50 years. NMPA's membership consists of 280 psychologists, approximately half of the licensed psychologists in the state. The mission of NMPA is to advance psychology as a science and profession and to promote human well-being by encouraging

¹ No party's counsel authored this brief in whole or in part, and no party or party's counsel nor any other person other than the *Amici* contributed money that was intended to fund preparing or submitting the brief.

² Am. Psychol. Ass'n, *Resolution on Marriage Equality For Same-Sex Couples* (2011), available at <http://www.apa.org/about/policy/same-sex.pdf>.

psychology in all its branches in the broadest manner. The Executive Committee of NMPA's Board of Directors has unanimously voted to join the New Mexico marriage equality amicus brief and has confirmed NMPA's support of full marriage equality for gay and lesbian people.

The National Association of Social Workers ("NASW") was established in 1955 and is the largest association of professional social workers in the world with 130,000 members and 55 chapters. The NASW, New Mexico Chapter (also an *Amicus* herein) has 1,067 members. NASW develops policy statements on issues of importance to the social work profession and enforces the *NASW Code of Ethics*, and, consistent with those standards, NASW and NASW New Mexico support full social and legal acceptance of lesbian, gay, and bisexual people.

The New Mexico Pediatric Society (NMPS) represents over 200 pediatricians in the state of New Mexico. Along with its national organization, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), the NMPS seeks the optimal physical, mental, and social health and well-being for infants, children, adolescents, and young adults. The NMPS and the AAP support marriage equality for all capable and consenting couples, including those who are of the same gender.

All parties have consented to the filing of this brief.

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

There is no scientific basis for the discriminatory application of New Mexico's marriage law, NMSA 1978, Chapter 40, Article I, excluding same-sex couples. Scientific evidence strongly supports the conclusion that homosexuality is a normal expression of human sexuality; that most gay, lesbian, and bisexual adults do not experience their sexual orientation as a choice; that gay and lesbian people form stable, committed relationships that are equivalent to heterosexual relationships in essential respects; and that same-sex couples are no less fit than heterosexual parents to raise children and their children are no less psychologically healthy and well-adjusted than children of heterosexual parents. In short, any claim that legal recognition of marriage for same-sex couples undermines the institution of marriage or harms children is inconsistent with the scientific evidence.

ARGUMENT

I. The Scientific Evidence Presented in This Brief.

Representing leading associations of psychological, psychiatric, medical, and social work professionals, *Amici* have sought in this brief to present an accurate and balanced summary of the current state of scientific and professional knowledge concerning sexual orientation and families relevant to this case.

In drawing conclusions, *Amici* rely on the best empirical research available, focusing on general patterns rather than any single study. Before citing a study, *Amici* have critically evaluated its methodology, including the reliability and validity of the measures and tests it employed, and the quality of its data-collection procedures and statistical analyses.

Scientific research is a cumulative process, and no empirical study is perfect in its design and execution. Even well-executed studies may be limited in their implications and the generalizability of their findings.³ Accordingly, *Amici* base

³ For example, to confidently describe the prevalence or frequency with which a phenomenon occurs in the population at large, it is necessary to collect data from a “probability” or “representative” sample. A probability sample consists of individuals selected from the study population through a process that gives each member of the population a calculable chance of being included. Nonprobability samples do not give all members of the study population a chance of being included—such as, for example, a study of voters that relies on volunteers who phone in to a telephone number advertised in a newspaper. Case studies and nonprobability samples can be used to document the existence of a phenomenon in the study population. For studies of groups that constitute a relatively small proportion of the population, obtaining a probability sample can be extremely

their conclusions as much as possible on general patterns rather than any single study.

All scientific studies can be constructively criticized, and scientists continually try to identify ways to improve and refine their own work and that of their colleagues. Thus, many studies cited herein discuss their own limitations and provide suggestions for further research. This is consistent with the scientific method and does not impeach the overall conclusions.

Most of the studies and literature reviews cited herein have been peer-reviewed and published in reputable academic journals. In addition, other academic books, book chapters, and technical reports, which typically are not subject to the same peer-review standards as journal articles, are included when they report research employing rigorous methods, are authored by well-established researchers, and accurately reflect professional consensus about the current state of knowledge. *Amici* have made a good faith effort to include all relevant studies and have not excluded any study because of its findings.

expensive or otherwise not feasible. Consequently, researchers studying such groups may rely on nonprobability samples. If they wish to compare members of the smaller group with members of the majority group (e.g., lesbian mothers with heterosexual mothers), they may recruit nonprobability samples of both groups that are matched on relevant characteristics (e.g., educational level, age, income). Regardless of the sampling method used, greater confidence can be placed in findings that have been replicated by others using different samples.

II. Homosexuality Is a Normal Expression of Human Sexuality, Is Generally Not Chosen, and Is Highly Resistant to Change.

Sexual orientation refers to an enduring disposition to experience sexual, affectional, and/or romantic attractions to one or both sexes. It also encompasses an individual's sense of personal and social identity based on those attractions, on behaviors expressing those attractions, and on membership in a community of others who share those attractions and behaviors.⁴ Although sexual orientation ranges along a continuum from exclusively heterosexual to exclusively homosexual, it is usually discussed in three categories: *heterosexual* (having sexual and romantic attraction primarily or exclusively to members of the other sex), *homosexual* (having sexual and romantic attraction primarily or exclusively to members of one's own sex), and *bisexual* (having a significant degree of sexual and romantic attraction to both sexes).

Although homosexuality was classified as a mental disorder when the American Psychiatric Association published the first Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders in 1952, only five years later a study sponsored by the National Institute of Mental Health found no evidence to support the

⁴ See A.R. D'Augelli, *Sexual Orientation*, in 7 Am. Psychol. Ass'n, *Encyclopedia of Psychology* 260 (A.E. Kazdin ed., 2000); G.M. Herek, *Homosexuality*, in 2 Corsini *Encyclopedia of Psychology* 774-76 (I.B. Weiner & W.E. Craighead eds., 4th ed. 2010); Institute of Medicine, *The Health of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender People* (2011).

classification.⁵ On the basis of that study and others demonstrating that the original classification reflected social stigma rather than science,⁶ the American Psychiatric Association declassified homosexuality as a mental disorder in 1973. In 1974, the American Psychological Association adopted a policy reflecting the same conclusion. For decades, then, the consensus of mental health professionals and researchers has been that homosexuality and bisexuality are normal expressions of human sexuality; that they pose no inherent obstacle to leading a happy, healthy, and productive life; and that gay and lesbian people function well in the full array of social institutions and interpersonal relationships.⁷

Most gay men and lesbians do not experience their sexual orientation as resulting from a voluntary choice. In a U.S. national probability sample of 662 self-identified lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults, 88% of gay men and 68% of lesbians reported feeling they had no choice at all about their sexual orientation,

⁵ E. Hooker, *The Adjustment of the Male Overt Homosexual*, 21 *J. Projective Techniques* 17 (1957).

⁶ B.F. Riess, *Psychological Tests in Homosexuality*, in *Homosexual Behavior: A Modern Reappraisal* 296 (J. Marmor ed., 1980); C. Gonsiorek, *The Empirical Basis for the Demise of the Illness Model of Homosexuality*, in *Homosexuality: Research Implications for Public Policy* 115 (J.C. Gonsiorek & J.D. Weinrich eds., 1991).

⁷ See, e.g., Am. Psychiatric Ass'n, *Position Statement: Homosexuality and Civil Rights* (1973), in 131 *Am. J. Psychiatry* 497 (1974); Am. Psychol. Ass'n, *Minutes of the Annual Meeting of the Council of Representatives*, 30 *Am. Psychologist* 620, 633 (1975).

while another 7% of gay men and 15% of lesbians reported only a small amount of choice. Only 5% of gay men and 16% of lesbians felt they had a fair amount or a great deal of choice.⁸

There is no credible scientific support for the contrary position. Moreover, although some groups and individuals have offered clinical interventions that purport to change sexual orientation from homosexual to heterosexual—sometimes called “conversion” therapies—these interventions have not been shown to be effective or safe. A review of the scientific literature by an American Psychological Association task force concluded that sexual orientation change efforts are unlikely to succeed and indeed can be harmful.⁹

⁸ G. Herek et al., *Demographic, Psychological, and Social Characteristics of Self-Identified Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Adults in a US Probability Sample*, 7 *Sexuality Res. & Soc. Policy* 176 (2010); see also G. Herek et al., *Internalized Stigma Among Sexual Minority Adults: Insights from a Social Psychological Perspective*, 56 *J. Counseling Psychol.* 32 (2009).

⁹ Am. Psychol. Ass’n, *Report of the American Psychological Association Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation* (2009); see also Am. Psychol. Ass’n, *Resolution on Appropriate Affirmative Responses to Sexual Orientation Distress and Change Efforts* (2009), both available at <http://www.apa.org/pi/lgbt/resources/sexual-orientation.aspx>.

sexual orientation is integrally linked to the intimate personal relationships that human beings form with others to meet their deeply felt needs for love, attachment, and intimacy. One's sexual orientation defines the universe of persons with whom one is likely to find love, attachment, and intimacy—the satisfying and fulfilling relationships that, for many individuals, comprise an essential component of personal identity.

A. Gay and Lesbian People Form Stable, Committed Relationships That Are Equivalent to Heterosexual Relationships in Essential Respects.

Like heterosexuals, most gay and lesbian people want to form stable, long-lasting relationships,¹¹ and many of them do: numerous studies using nonprobability samples of gay men and lesbian people have found that the vast majority of participants have been in a committed relationship at some point in their lives, that large proportions are currently in such a relationship (40-70% of gay men and 45-80% of lesbian women), and that many of those couples have been

¹¹ In a 2005 U.S. national probability sample of 662 self-identified lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults, of those who were currently in a relationship, 78% of gay men and 87% of lesbians said they would marry their partner if it was legal, and, of those not currently in a relationship, 34% of gay men and 46% of lesbians said that they would like to marry someday. Herek et al., *Demographic*, *supra* note 8; see also Henry J. Kaiser Fam. Found., *Inside-OUT: A Report on the Experiences of Lesbians, Gays and Bisexuals in America and the Public's Views on Issues and Policies Related to Sexual Orientation* 31 (2001), available at <http://www.kff.org/kaiserpolls/3193-index.cfm>; A.R. D'Augelli et al., *Lesbian and Gay Youth's Aspirations for Marriage and Raising Children*, 1 J. LGBT Issues in Counseling 77 (2007).

together 10 or more years.¹² Survey data from probability samples support these findings.¹³ Data from the 2010 U.S. Census show that same-sex couples headed more than 600,000 U.S. households and more than 5,000 households in New Mexico.¹⁴

Empirical research demonstrates that the psychological and social aspects of committed relationships between same-sex partners largely resemble those of heterosexual partnerships. Like heterosexual couples, same-sex couples form deep emotional attachments and commitments. Heterosexual and same-sex couples alike go through similar processes to address concerns about intimacy, love,

¹² See L.A. Peplau & A.W. Fingerhut, *Same-sex Romantic Relationships*, in *Handbook of Psychology and Sexual Orientation* 165-178 (C.J. Patterson & A.R. D'Augelli eds. 2013); L.A. Peplau & A.W. Fingerhut, *The Close Relationships of Lesbians and Gay Men*, 58 *Ann. Rev. Psychol.* 405 (2007); L.A. Peplau & N. Ghavami, *Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Relationships*, in *Encyclopedia of Human Relationships* (H.T. Reis & S. Sprecher eds., 2009).

¹³ Herek et al., *Demographic*, *supra* note 8; T.C. Mills et al., *Health-Related Characteristics of Men Who Have Sex with Men: A Comparison of Those Living in "Gay Ghettos" with Those Living Elsewhere*, 91 *Am. J. Pub. Health* 980, 982 (Table 1) (2001); S.D. Cochran et al., *Prevalence of Mental Disorders, Psychological Distress, and Mental Services Use Among Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Adults in the United States*, 71 *J. Consulting & Clinical Psychol.* 53, 56 (2003); Henry J. Kaiser Fam. Found., *supra* note 11.

¹⁴ 2010 Census and 2010 American Community Survey, Same-Sex Unmarried Partner or Spouse Households by Sex of Householder by Presence of Own Children, *available at* <http://www.census.gov/hhes/samesex/files/supp-table-AFF.xls>.

equity, loyalty, stability, and similar relationship issues.¹⁵ Empirical research also shows that same-sex couples have levels of relationship satisfaction similar to or higher than those of heterosexual couples.¹⁶

B. The Institution of Marriage Offers Social, Psychological, and Health Benefits That Are Denied to Same-Sex Couples.

Marriage as a social institution has a profound effect on the lives of the individuals who inhabit it. The sociologist Emile Durkheim observed that marriage helps to protect the individual from “anomie,” or social disruption and breakdowns of norms.¹⁷ Twentieth-century sociologists have observed that

¹⁵ L.A. Kurdek, *Change in Relationship Quality for Partners from Lesbian, Gay Male, and Heterosexual Couples*, 22 *J. Fam. Psychol.* 701 (2008); L.A. Kurdek, *Are Gay and Lesbian Cohabiting Couples Really Different from Heterosexual Married Couples?*, 66 *J. Marriage & Fam.* 880 (2004); G.I. Roisman et al., *Adult Romantic Relationships as Contexts for Human Development: A Multimethod Comparison of Same-Sex Couples with Opposite-Sex Dating, Engaged, and Married Dyads*, 44 *Developmental Psychol.* 91 (2008); see generally L.A. Kurdek, *What Do We Know About Gay and Lesbian Couples?*, 14 *Current Directions in Psychol. Sci.* 251 (2005); Peplau & Fingerhut, *supra* note 12; Peplau & Ghavami, *supra* note 12.

¹⁶ K.F. Balsam et al., *Three-Year Follow-Up of Same-Sex Couples Who Had Civil Unions in Vermont, Same-Sex Couples Not in Civil Unions, and Heterosexual Married Couples*, 44 *Developmental Psychol.* 102 (2008); Kurdek, *Change in Relationship Quality*, *supra* note 15; L.A. Peplau & K.P. Beals, *The Family Lives of Lesbians and Gay Men*, in *Handbook of Family Communication* 233, 236 (A.L. Vangelisti ed., 2004).

¹⁷ E. Durkheim, *Suicide: A Study in Sociology* 259 (J.A. Spaulding & G. Simpson trans., Glencoe, Ill.: Free Press 1951) (original work published 1897).

marriage creates order¹⁸ and “provides a strong positive sense of identity, self-worth, and mastery.”¹⁹ Empirical research demonstrates that marriage has distinct benefits that extend beyond the material necessities of life.²⁰ These intangible elements of the marital relationship have important implications for the physical and psychological health of married individuals and for the relationship itself.

Because marriage rights have been granted to same-sex couples only recently and only in a few jurisdictions, little empirical research comparing married same-sex couples to unmarried same-sex couples, or those in civil unions, has been published.²¹ Based on their scientific and clinical expertise, *Amici* believe

¹⁸ P. Berger & H. Kellner, *Marriage and the Construction of Reality: An Exercise In the Microsociology of Knowledge*, 46 *Diogenes* 1 (1964).

¹⁹ W.R. Gove et al., *The Effect of Marriage on the Well-Being of Adults: A Theoretical Analysis*, 11 *J. Fam. Issues* 4, 16 (1990). See also Durkheim, *supra* note 17 at 259.

²⁰ See S. Stack & J.R. Eshleman, *Marital Status and Happiness: A 17-Nation Study*, 60 *J. Marriage & Fam.* 527 (1998); R.P.D. Burton, *Global Integrative Meaning as a Mediating Factor In the Relationship Between Social Roles and Psychological Distress*, 39 *J. Health & Soc. Behav.* 201 (1998); S.L. Nock, *A Comparison of Marriages and Cohabiting Relationships*, 16 *J. Fam. Issues* 53, 53 (1995); Gove et al., *supra* note 19, at 5.

²¹ One recent study compared the psychological well-being of gay, lesbian, and bisexual Californians who were legally married to those who were in registered domestic partnerships and those who were not in same-sex legal relationships. Wight, R. G., LeBlanc, A. J., & Badgett, M. V. L., *Same-Sex Legal Marriage and Psychological Well-Being: Findings from the California Health Interview Survey*, *Am. J. of Pub. Health* 103(2), 339-346 (2013). This study found that Californians who were legally married to a same-sex partner had significantly less

it is appropriate to extrapolate from the empirical research literature for heterosexual couples—with qualifications as necessary—to anticipate the likely effects of marriage for same-sex couples.²²

Married men and women generally experience better physical and mental health than their unmarried counterparts.²³ These health benefits do not appear to result simply from being in an intimate relationship, for most studies have found that married heterosexual individuals generally manifest greater well-being than

psychological distress than lesbian, gay, and bisexual persons not in a same-sex legal relationship; levels of distress did not differ significantly between those in a registered domestic partnership (RDP) and those not in a legal relationship. Although the difference in psychological well-being between respondents in RDPs and those who were married to a same-sex partner was not statistically significant, supplemental analyses showed that marriage was significantly associated with psychological well-being among married couples but domestic partnership was not. The authors concluded, “it appears there might be a unique positive mental health association specifically conferred by legal marriage, particularly compared with not being in any type of legally recognized relationship at all.” *Id.* at 343.

²² Researchers recognize that comparisons between married and unmarried heterosexual couples are complicated by the possibility that observed differences might be due to self-selection. After extensive study, however, researchers have concluded that benefits associated with marriage result largely from the institution itself rather than self-selection. *See, e.g.,* Gove et al., *supra* note 19 at 10; J.E. Murray, *Marital Protection and Marital Selection: Evidence from a Historical-Pro prospective Sample of American Men*, 37 *Demography* 511 (2000). It is reasonable to expect that same-sex couples who choose to marry, like their heterosexual counterparts, will benefit from the institution of marriage itself.

²³ *See* N.J. Johnson et al., *Marital Status and Mortality: The National Longitudinal Mortality Study*, 10 *Annals Epidemiology* 224 (2000); C.E. Ross et al., *The Impact of the Family on Health: The Decade in Review*, 52 *J. Marriage & Fam.* 1059 (1990); R.W. Simon, *Revisiting the Relationships Among Gender, Marital Status, and Mental Health*, 107 *Am. J. Soc.* 1065 (2002).

those of comparable cohabiting couples.²⁴ Of course, marital status alone does not guarantee greater health or happiness. Unhappily married people often manifest lower levels of well-being than the unmarried, and marital discord and dissatisfaction is often associated with negative health effects.²⁵ Nevertheless, satisfied married couples consistently manifest higher levels of happiness, psychological well-being, and physical health than the unmarried.

Being married is also a source of stability and commitment. Marital commitment is a function not only of attractive forces (*i.e.*, rewarding features of the partner or the relationship), but also of external forces that serve as constraints on dissolving the relationship. Barriers to terminating a marriage include feelings of obligation to one's family members; moral and religious values; legal

²⁴ See Gove et al., *supra* note 19; S.L. Brown, *The Effect of Union Type on Psychological Well-Being: Depression Among Cohabitators Versus Marrieds*, 41 J. Health & Soc. Behav. 241 (2000). *But see, e.g.*, C.E. Ross, *Reconceptualizing Marital Status as a Continuum of Social Attachment*, 57 J. Marriage & Fam. 129 (1995) (failing to detect significant differences in depression between married heterosexuals and comparable cohabiting heterosexual couples).

²⁵ See W.R. Gove et al., *Does Marriage Have Positive Effects on the Psychological Well-Being of the Individual?*, 24 J. Health & Soc. Behav. 122 (1983); K. Williams, *Has the Future of Marriage Arrived? A Contemporary Examination of Gender, Marriage, and Psychological Well-Being*, 44 J. Health Soc. Behav. 470 (2003); J.K. Kiecolt-Glaser & T.L. Newton, *Marriage and Health: His and Hers*, 127 Psychol. Bull. 472 (2001).

restrictions; financial concerns; and the anticipated disapproval of others.²⁶ In the absence of rewards, the existence of barriers alone is not sufficient to sustain a marriage in the long term. Perceiving one's intimate relationship primarily in terms of rewards, rather than barriers to dissolution, is likely to be associated with greater relationship satisfaction.²⁷ Nonetheless, perceived barriers are negatively correlated with divorce; thus, the presence of barriers may increase partners' motivation to seek solutions for problems, rather than rushing to dissolve a salvageable relationship.²⁸

For same-sex couples lacking access to legal marriage, the primary motivation to remain together derives from the rewards associated with the relationship rather than from formal barriers to separation.²⁹ Given this fact, and

²⁶ See G. Levinger, *Marital Cohesiveness and Dissolution: An Integrative Review*, 27 *J. Marriage & Fam.* 19 (1965); J.M. Adams & W.H. Jones, *The Conceptualization of Marital Commitment: An Integrative Analysis*, 72 *J. Personality & Soc. Psychol.* 1177 (1997).

²⁷ See, e.g., D. Previti & P.R. Amato, *Why Stay Married? Rewards, Barriers, and Marital Stability*, 65 *J. Marriage & Fam.* 561 (2003).

²⁸ See T.B. Heaton & S.L. Albrecht, *Stable Unhappy Marriages*, 53 *J. Marriage & Fam.* 747 (1991); L.K. White & A. Booth, *Divorce Over the Life Course: The Role of Marital Happiness*, 12 *J. Fam. Issues* 5 (1991).

²⁹ L.A. Kurdek, *Relationship Outcomes and Their Predictors: Longitudinal Evidence from Heterosexual Married, Gay Cohabiting, and Lesbian Cohabiting Couples*, 60 *J. Marriage & Fam.* 553 (1998).

the legal and prejudicial obstacles that same-sex partners face, the prevalence and durability of same-sex relationships are striking.

IV. The Children of Same Sex Couples.

A. Many Same-Sex Couples Are Raising Children.

The 2010 Census reported 111,033 households headed by same-sex partners with their own children under 18 years; and, 1,038 of these households were in New Mexico.³⁰ Census data underestimate the total number of same-sex couples who are parents.³¹

B. The Factors That Affect the Adjustment of Children Are Not Dependent on Parental Gender or Sexual Orientation.

Hundreds of studies over the past 30 years have elucidated the factors that are associated with healthy adjustment among children and adolescents—*i.e.*, the influences that allow children and adolescents to function well in their daily lives.³²

The three most important factors are (1) the qualities of parent-child relationships,

³⁰ 2010 Census Survey, *supra* note 14.

³¹ This is because the Census does not directly assess participants' sexual orientation; Census data reflect only households headed by co-habiting same-sex partners who reported their relationship status. 2010 Census Survey, *supra* note 14.

³² S. Golombok, *Parenting: What Really Counts?* (2002); M.E. Lamb & C. Lewis, *The Role of Parent-Child Relationships in Child Development*, in *Developmental Science: An Advanced Textbook* 429-68 (M.H. Bornstein & M.E. Lamb eds., 5th ed. 2005); C.J. Patterson, & P.D. Hastings, *Socialization in the Context of Family Diversity*, in *Handbook of Socialization: Theory and Research* 328-51 (J.E. Grusec & P.D. Hastings eds., 2007).

(2) the qualities of relationships among significant adults (e.g., parents) in children's or adolescents' lives, and (3) available economic and other resources.

As one noted authority in developmental psychology explained:

Many studies have shown that adjustment is largely affected by differences in the quality of parenting and parent-child relationships, the quality of the relationships between the parents, and the richness of the economic and social resources available to the family; more recent research signals the importance of congenital differences as well. Dimensions of family structure – including such factors as divorce, single parenthood, and the parents' sexual orientation – and biological relatedness between parents and children are of little or no predictive importance once the process variables are taken into account, because the same factors explain child adjustment regardless of family structure.³³

In short, many years of research have shown that, when parent-child and parent-adolescent relationships are characterized by warmth, love and affection, emotional commitment, reliability, and consistency, as well as by appropriate guidance and limit-setting, children and adolescents are likely to show more positive adjustment than when these qualities are absent. Children whose parents provide loving guidance in the context of secure home environments are more likely to flourish, regardless of their parents' sexual orientation.³⁴

³³ M.E. Lamb, *Mothers, Fathers, Families, and Circumstances: Factors Affecting Children's Adjustment*, 16 *Applied Developmental Sci.* 98-111 (2012).

³⁴ Lamb & Lewis, *supra* note 32; Patterson & Hastings, *supra* note 32.

Research also shows that the quality of relationships among significant adults in a child's life is associated with adjustment. When parental relationships are characterized by love, warmth, cooperation, security, and mutual support, children are more likely to show positive adjustment. In contrast, when parental relationships are conflict-ridden and acrimonious, adjustment is likely to be less favorable. Family instability, household disruption, and parental divorce are often associated with poorer adjustment and problems that can last into adulthood.³⁵ These correlations are just as true for children reared by same-sex couples as for children reared by heterosexual couples.³⁶

³⁵ See, e.g., P.R. Amato, *Children of Divorce in the 1990s: An Update of the Amato and Keith (1991) Meta-Analysis*, 15 *J. Fam. Psychol.* 355 (2001).

³⁶ *The Family Context of Parenting in Children's Adaptation to Elementary School* (P.A. Cowan, C.P. Cowan, J.C. Ablow, V.K. Johnson & J.R. Measelle eds., 2005); R.W. Chan, B. Raboy & C.J. Patterson, *Psychosocial Adjustment Among Children Conceived Via Donor Insemination By Lesbian and Heterosexual Mothers*, 69 *Child Dev.* 443 (1998); E.M. Cummings, M.C. Goeke-Morey & L.M. Papp, *Children's Responses to Everyday Marital Conflict Tactics in the Home*, 74 *Child Dev.* 1918 (2003); E.M. Cummings, M.C. Goeke-Morey & L.M. Papp, *Everyday Marital Conflict and Child Aggression*, 32 *J. Abnormal Child Psychol.* 191 (2004); Golombok, *supra* note 32; D. Potter, *Same-Sex Parent Families and Children's Academic Achievement*, 74 *J. Marriage & Fam.* 556 (2012); M.J. Rosenfeld, *Nontraditional Families & Childhood Progress Through School*, 47 *Demography*, 755 (2010).

Research with children reared by heterosexual parents indicates that they do better with two parenting figures than with one.³⁷ This finding, however, has not been tested directly by comparing children reared by same-sex couples versus a single lesbian, gay or bisexual parent.

Finally, researchers acknowledge the association between child adjustment and access to economic and other resources. Children with access to sufficient economic resources are likely to live in safer neighborhoods, breathe cleaner air, and eat more nutritious food. They are also more likely to have opportunities to participate in positive after-school activities, and hence to have access to social and emotional resources from teammates, coaches, youth leaders, and others. Children with access to these resources are more likely to show positive adjustment, regardless of their parents' sexual orientation.³⁸

³⁷ See, e.g., S. McLanahan & G. Sandefur, *Growing Up With a Single Parent: What Hurts, What Helps* 39 (1994).

³⁸ *Neighborhood Poverty: Context and Consequences for Children* (J. Brooks-Gunn, G.J. Duncan & J.L. Aber eds., 1997); *Consequences of Growing Up Poor* (G.J. Duncan & J. Brooks-Gunn eds., 1997); Patterson & Hastings, *supra* note 32; Potter, 2012, *supra* note 36; Rosenfeld, 2010, *supra* note 36.

In short, the very same factors that are linked to positive development of children with heterosexual parents are also linked to positive development of children with gay and lesbian parents.³⁹

C. There Is No Scientific Basis for Concluding That Same-Sex Couples Are Any Less Fit or Capable Parents Than Heterosexual Couples, or That Their Children Are Any Less Psychologically Healthy and Well Adjusted.

Assertions that heterosexual couples are better parents than same-sex couples, or that the children of lesbian or gay parents fare worse than children of heterosexual parents, are not supported by the cumulative scientific research.⁴⁰

³⁹ See R.W. Chan, *supra* note 36; C.J. Patterson, *Lesbian and Gay Parents and Their Children: A Social Science Perspective*, in *Contemporary Perspectives on Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Identities*, Nebraska Symposium on Motivation 141 (D.A. Hope ed., 2009); J. Stacey & T.J. Biblarz, *(How) Does the Sexual Orientation of Parents Matter?*, 66 *Am. Soc. Rev.* 159 (2001); C.J. Telingator & C.J. Patterson, *Children and Adolescents of Lesbian and Gay Parents*, 47 *J. Am. Acad. of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 1364 (2008); J.L. Wainright et al., *Psychosocial Adjustment, School Outcomes, and Romantic Relationships of Adolescents With Same-Sex Parents*, 75 *Child Dev.* 1886 (2009).

⁴⁰ The research on gay, lesbian, and bisexual parents includes dozens of empirical studies. Their findings are summarized in reviews of empirical literature published in respected, peer-reviewed journals and academic books. Recent reviews include T.J. Biblarz & J. Stacey, *How does the gender of parents matter?*, 72 *J. Marriage & Fam.* 3-22 (2010); A.E. Goldberg, *Lesbian and Gay Parents and Their Children: Research on the Family Life Cycle* (2009); C.J. Patterson, *Family Lives of Lesbian and Gay Adults*, in *The Handbook of Marriage and Family* 668-71 (G.W. Peterson & K.R. Bush eds. 2013); C.J. Patterson, *Children of Lesbian and Gay Parents, Psychology, Law, and Policy*, 64 *Am. Psychologist* 727 (2009). For earlier reviews, see, e.g., Stacey & Biblarz, *supra* note 39; E.C. Perrin & Committee on Psychosocial Aspects of Child and Family Health, *Technical Report: Coparent or Second-Parent Adoption by Same-Sex Parents*, 109

Rather, the vast majority of scientific studies that have directly compared gay and lesbian parents with heterosexual parents has shown that the former are as fit and capable parents as the latter, and that their children are as psychologically healthy and well adjusted. More research has focused on lesbian mothers than on gay fathers,⁴¹ but the published studies that have included gay fathers also find that they are as fit and able parents as heterosexual fathers.⁴²

A 2001 comprehensive survey of peer-reviewed scientific studies concluded that the evidence from empirical research “shows that parental sexual orientation

Pediatrics 341 (2002); C.J. Patterson, *Family Relationships of Lesbians and Gay Men*, 62 *J. Marriage & Fam.* 1052 (2000); N. Anderssen et al., *Outcomes for Children with Lesbian or Gay Parents: A Review of Studies from 1978 to 2000*, 43 *Scand. J. Psychol.* 335 (2002).

⁴¹ See, e.g., H. Bos & T.G.M. Sandfort, *Children’s Gender Identity in Lesbian and Heterosexual Two-Parent Families*, 62 *Sex Roles* 114 (2010); R.H. Farr et al., *Parenting and Child Development in Adoptive Families: Does Parental Sexual Orientation Matter?*, 14 *Applied Developmental Sci.* 164, 176 (2010); S. Golombok et al., *Children with Lesbian Parents: A Community Study*, 39 *Developmental Psychol.* 20 (2003); I. Rivers, et al., *Victimization, Social Support, and Psychosocial Functioning Among Children of Same-Sex and Opposite-Sex Couples in the United Kingdom*, 44 *Developmental Psychol.* 127 (2008); J.L. Wainright & C.J. Patterson, *Delinquency, Victimization, and Substance Use Among Adolescents with Female Same-Sex Parents*, 20 *J. Fam. Psychology* 526 (2006).

⁴² Farr, *supra* note 41, at 176; see also S. Erich et al., *Gay and Lesbian Adoptive Families: An Exploratory Study of Family Functioning, Adoptive Child’s Behavior, and Familial Support Networks*, 9 *J. Fam. Soc. Work* 17 (2005); S. Erich, et al., *A Comparative Analysis of Adoptive Family Functioning with Gay, Lesbian, and Heterosexual Parents and Their Children*, 1 *J. GLBT Fam. Stud.* 43 (2005). For a review of earlier research, see C.J. Patterson, *Gay Fathers, in The Role of the Father in Child Development* 397, 413 (M.E. Lamb ed., 4th ed. 2004).

per se has no measurable effect on the quality of parent-child relationships or on children's mental health or social adjustment."⁴³ A more recent review by the same authors noted "the ubiquitous findings of no differences" in comparisons of the families of heterosexual couples to those of lesbian or gay couples, but focused on the relatively small number of differences that have been reported, concluding that overall the differences were positive for the families of same-sex couples at least as often as they were for the families of heterosexual couples.⁴⁴

These conclusions are bolstered by three recent studies using national probability (*i.e.*, representative) samples. One used data from the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health to compare adolescents parented by

⁴³ Stacey & Biblarz, *supra* note 39, at 176.

⁴⁴ Biblarz & Stacey, *supra* note 40, at 13; *see also* E.L. Sutfin et al. *How Lesbian and Heterosexual Parents Convey Attitudes About Gender to Their Children: The Role of Gendered Environments*, 58 *Sex Roles* 501-13 (2008) (finding that the children of lesbian mothers were more tolerant of other children engaging in behaviors that violate traditional gender norms). Similarly, a recent report by the National Academy of Sciences' Institute of Medicine concluded that "studies show that [the children of lesbian and gay parents] are well adjusted and developmentally similar to the children of different-sex parents." Report of National Academy of Sciences' Institute of Medicine 234 (2011).

Additionally, a recent study analyzing data from a Netherlands national probability sample found that Dutch children in two-mother households scored significantly higher than comparable children with heterosexual parents on measures of attitudes concerning acting democratically, dealing with conflicts, and dealing with differences. Bos, H., Gartrell, N., Roeleveld, J., & Ledoux, G., *Civic Competence of Dutch Children in Female Same-Sex Parent Families: A Comparison with Children of Opposite-Sex Parents*, Youth & Society (2013).

female couples with adolescents parented by heterosexual couples. The researchers found no differences between the two groups of adolescents on measures of a large number of key variables, including psychosocial adjustment, school outcomes, substance use, delinquency, victimization experiences, and relationships with peers.⁴⁵

Another study used data from the Early Childhood Longitudinal Study – Kindergarten (ECLS-K) cohort to compare the academic achievement of children growing up in various family structures. When the effects of significant family transitions (*e.g.*, related to parental divorce, separation, or death) were taken into account, children in same-sex family structures showed slightly higher achievement levels than children living with their biological mother and father (although this difference was not statistically significant).⁴⁶

The third study used U.S. Census data to compare educational outcomes among children residing in homes with various types of family structures. When differences in household income and parental educational levels (SES) were

⁴⁵ J.L. Wainright & C.J. Patterson, *Peer Relations Among Adolescents with Female Same-Sex Parents*, 44 *Developmental Psychol.* 117 (2008); Wainright & Patterson, *supra* note 41; J.L. Wainright et al., *supra* note 39.

⁴⁶ D. Potter, *supra* note 36. Data about parents' sexual orientation were not collected in the study. Consequently, parental sexual orientation and relationship were inferred from a series of questions about the household composition and caretakers.

statistically controlled, the differences in school progress between children of married heterosexual couples and same-sex cohabiting couples were not statistically significant. As the study's author concluded, "[t]he analysis in this article, the first to use large-sample nationally representative data, shows that children raised by same-sex couples have no fundamental deficits in making normal progress through school."⁴⁷

Studies also show that children with gay or lesbian parents do not differ from the children of heterosexual parents in their gender identity (*i.e.*, their psychological sense of being male or female).⁴⁸ Similarly, although some studies have found that children of lesbian mothers⁴⁹ or children raised in same-sex parent families⁵⁰ were less gender-stereotyped or more flexible in their patterns of gender-

⁴⁷ M.J. Rosenfeld, *supra* note 36.

⁴⁸ See *e.g.*, Bos & Sandfort, , *supra* note 41. For literature reviews, see Patterson (2013), *supra* note 40; Goldberg, *supra* note 40; Perrin & Committee, *supra* note 40, at 342.

⁴⁹ R. Green et al., *Lesbian Mothers and Their Children: A Comparison with Solo Parent Heterosexual Mothers and Their Children*, 15 *Archives of Sexual Behavior* 167-184 (1986). An earlier paper (M. Hotvedt & J.B. Mandel, *Children of Lesbian Mothers, in Homosexuality: Social, Psychological, and Biological Issues* 275 (W. Paul et al. eds., 1982)) reported data from the same study.

⁵⁰ A.E. Goldberg et al., *Gender-Typed Play Behavior in Early Childhood: Adopted Children with Lesbian, Gay, and Heterosexual Parents*, 67 *Sex Roles* 503-515 (2012); R.H. Farr, *supra* note 41; E. Sutfin, et al., *supra* note 44; M. Fulcher et al., *Individual Differences in Gender Development: Associations with Parental Sexual Orientation, Attitudes, and Division of Labor*, 58 *Sex Roles* 330 (2008).

role behaviors (e.g., during play)⁵¹ than those of children in heterosexual parent families, most published studies have found no reliable differences between the children of lesbian and heterosexual mothers in *social gender role* conformity (adherence to cultural norms defining feminine and masculine behavior).⁵² A recent study also found that *adoptive* children of gay fathers showed typical gender role development, as did those of lesbian mothers and those of heterosexual mothers and fathers.⁵³

The available evidence also suggests that parental sexual orientation has no effect on child sexual orientation.⁵⁴ The vast majority of gay and lesbian adults were raised by heterosexual parents, and the vast majority of children raised by gay and lesbian parents grow up to be heterosexual.⁵⁵

Amici emphasize that the parenting abilities of gay men and lesbians and the positive outcomes for their children are *not* areas where credible scientific

⁵¹ A.E. Goldberg et al., *supra* note 40; R. Green et al., *supra* note 49. An earlier paper (Hotvedt & Mandel, *supra* note 49) reported data from the same study.

⁵² R.H. Farr et al., *supra* note 41. For reviews of the literature, see C.J. Patterson (2013), *supra* note 40; Goldberg, *supra* note 40.

⁵³ See R.H. Farr et al., *supra* note 41.

⁵⁴ Golombok, *supra* note 32; S. Golombok & F. Tasker, *Do Parents Influence the Sexual Orientation of Their Children? Findings from a Longitudinal Study of Lesbian Families*, 32 *Developmental Psychol.* 3 (1996).

⁵⁵ C.J. Patterson (2013), *supra* note 40, at 659-681.

researchers disagree.⁵⁶ Thus, after careful scrutiny of decades of research, the American Psychological Association concluded in 2004 that (a) “there is no scientific evidence that parenting effectiveness is related to parental sexual orientation: Lesbian and gay parents are as likely as heterosexual parents to provide supportive and healthy environments for their children” and (b) that “research has shown that the adjustment, development, and psychological well-being of children are unrelated to parental sexual orientation and that the children of lesbian and gay parents are as likely as those of heterosexual parents to flourish.”⁵⁷

The National Association of Social Workers has similarly determined that “[t]he most striking feature of the research on lesbian mothers, gay fathers, and

⁵⁶ One unreplicated 1996 Australian study purports to show deficits in lesbian and gay parents and their children. See S. Sarantakos, *Children in Three Contexts: Family, Education, and Social Development*, 21 *Child. Australia* 23 (1996). But the anomalous results are likely the result of multiple methodological problems, especially confounding the effects of parental sexual orientation with the effects of parental divorce, which is known to correlate with poor adjustment and academic performance. See, e.g., P.R. Amato, *supra* note 35. Some commentators have cited publications by Paul Cameron, but his work has been repeatedly discredited for bias and inaccuracy. See G.M. Herek, *Bad Science in the Service of Stigma: A Critique of the Cameron Group's Survey Studies*, in *Stigma and Sexual Orientation: Understanding Prejudice Against Lesbians, Gay Men, and Bisexuals* 223 (G.M. Herek ed., 1998); *Baker v. Wade*, 106 F.R.D. 526, 536 (N.D. Tex. 1985) (ruling that Cameron made “misrepresentations” to the court).

⁵⁷ Am. Psychol. Ass'n, *Resolution on Sexual Orientation, Parents, and Children* (2004), available at <http://www.apa.org/about/governance/council/policy/parenting.pdf>.

their children is the absence of pathological findings. The second most striking feature is how similar the groups of gay and lesbian parents and their children are to heterosexual parents and their children that were included in the studies.”⁵⁸ The American Psychoanalytic Association has determined that “[t]here is no credible evidence that shows that a parent’s sexual orientation or gender identity will adversely affect the development of the child.”⁵⁹ In adopting an official Position Statement in support of legal recognition of same-sex civil marriage, the American Psychiatric Association observed that “no research has shown that the children raised by lesbians and gay men are less well adjusted than those reared within heterosexual relationships.”⁶⁰ Finally, the American Medical Association has

⁵⁸ Nat’l Ass’n of Soc. Workers, Policy Statement: *Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Issues*, in *Social Work Speaks* 193, 194 (4th ed. 1997); see also Nat’l Ass’n of Soc. Workers, Policy Statement: *Family Planning and Reproductive Choice*, in *Social Work Speaks* 129, 132 (9th ed. 2012).

⁵⁹ Am. Psychoanalytic Ass’n, Position Statement: *Parenting* (2012), available at http://www.apsa.org/about_apsaa/position_statements/parenting.aspx.

⁶⁰ Am. Psychiatric Ass’n, Position Statement: *Support of Legal Recognition of Same-Sex Civil Marriage* (2005), available at <http://www.psych.org/Departments/EDU/Library/APAOfficialDocumentsandRelated/PositionStatements/200502.aspx>.

likewise adopted a policy supporting legislative and other reforms to allow adoption by same sex partners.⁶¹

V. Denying the Status of Marriage to Same-Sex Couples Stigmatizes Them.

The foregoing shows that the beliefs about gay men and lesbians advanced in support of prohibitions on same-sex marriage—regarding their capacity for committed, long-lasting relationships and their ability to raise healthy, well-adjusted children—are contradicted by the scientific evidence and instead reflect an unreasoned antipathy towards an identifiable minority. In depriving gay men and lesbians of membership in an important social institution, excluding same-sex couples from marriage conveys the State’s judgment that committed intimate relationships between people of the same sex are inferior to heterosexual relationships. This is the essence of stigma.

A stigmatized condition or status is negatively valued by society, defines a person’s social identity, and thus disadvantages that person.⁶² A classic work in

⁶¹ See Am. Med. Ass’n, Policy H-60.940, *Partner Co-Adoption*, available at <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/about-ama/our-people/member-groups-sections/glb-t-advisory-committee/ama-policy-regarding-sexual-orientation.page>.

⁶² See E. Goffman, *Stigma: Notes on the Management of Spoiled Identity* (1963); B.G. Link & J.C. Phelan, *Conceptualizing Stigma*, 27 *Ann. Rev. Soc.* 363 (2001); J. Crocker et al., *Social Stigma*, in 2 *The Handbook of Social Psychology* 504 (D.T. Gilbert et al. eds., 4th ed. 1998); Am. Med. Ass’n, Policy H-65.973, *Health Care Disparities in Same-Sex Partner Households*, available at <http://www.ama-assn.org/ama/pub/about-ama/our-people/member-groups-sections/glb-t-advisory-committee/ama-policy-regarding-sexual-orientation.page> (recognizing that

this area characterized stigma as “an undesired differentness.”⁶³ It can be manifested in social institutions, such as the law, and in individual behaviors. Laws that accord majority and minority groups differing status highlight the perceived “differentness” of the minority and thereby tend to legitimize prejudicial attitudes and individual acts against the disfavored group, including ostracism, harassment, discrimination, and violence. Large numbers of lesbian, gay, and bisexual people experience such acts of prejudice because of their sexual orientation.⁶⁴

Interpreting New Mexico’s marriage law to exclude same-sex couples from marriage is an instance of institutional stigma. It conveys the State’s judgment that, in the realm of intimate relationships, legally united same-sex couples are

“exclusion from civil marriage contributes to health care disparities affecting same-sex households.”).

⁶³ Goffman, *supra* note 62, at 5.

⁶⁴ A national survey of a representative sample of gay, lesbian, and bisexual adults found that 21% of them had been the target of a physical assault or property crime since age 18 because of their sexual orientation. Thirty-eight percent of gay men had been the target of assault or property crime because of their sexual orientation. Eighteen percent of gay men and 16% of lesbians reported they had experienced discrimination in housing or employment. G.M. Herek, *Hate Crimes and Stigma-Related Experiences Among Sexual Minority Adults in the United States: Prevalence Estimates from a National Probability Sample*, 24 *J. Interpersonal Violence* 54 (2009); see also G.M. Herek et al., *Psychological Sequelae of Hate-Crime Victimization Among Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Adults*, 67 *J. Consulting & Clinical Psychol.* 945, 948 (1999); M.V.L. Badgett, *Money, Myths, and Change: The Economic Lives of Lesbians and Gay Men* (2001).

inherently less deserving of society's full recognition than heterosexual couples. By devaluing and delegitimizing the relationships that constitute the very core of homosexual orientation, this application of the State's marriage law compounds and perpetuates the stigma historically attached to homosexuality.

CONCLUSION

The petition for writ of superintending control, requiring the enforcement and execution of the State's marriage law without regard to the sex or sexual orientation of those seeking marriage licenses, should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

September 23, 2013


Sarah E. Bennett

Paul M. Smith
JENNER & BLOCK LLP
1099 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 639-6000
PSmith@jenner.com

Sarah E. Bennett
ROTHSTEIN, DONATELLI, HUGHES,
DAHLSTROM, SCHOENBURG &
BIENVENU LLP
Post Office Box 8180
1215 Paseo de Peralta
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-8180
(505) 988-8004
seb@rothsteinlaw.com

Caren I. Friedman
ATTORNEY AT LAW
7 Avenida Vista Grande #311
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87508
(505) 466-6418
cf@appellatecounsel.info

Counsel for Amici Curiae

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 23rd day of September 2013, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing motion to be served on each of the following counsel by electronic transmission:

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

Peter S. Kierst
Lynn Mostoller
SUTIN, THAYER & BROWNE
A Professional Corporation
Cooperating Attorneys for ACLU-NM
Post Office Box 1945
Albuquerque, NM 87103-1945
(505) 883-2500
psk@sutinfirm.com
lem@sutinfirm.com

Laura Schauer Ives
Alexandra Freedman Smith
ACLU OF NEW MEXICO
American Civil Liberties Union of
New Mexico Foundation
P.O. Box 566
Albuquerque, NM 87103-0566
Phone: (505) 266-5915 Ext. 1008
lives@aclu-nm.org
asmith@aclu-nm.org

Elizabeth O. Gill
James D. Esseks
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION
FOUNDATION
39 Drumm Street
San Francisco, CA 94111
Phone: (415) 621-2493
egill@aclunc.org
jesseks@aclu.org

Shannon P. Minter
Christopher F. Stoll
NATIONAL CENTER FOR LESBIAN RIGHTS
870 Market St., Suite 370
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone (415) 392-6257
SMinter@nclrights.org
Cstoll@nclrights.org

N. Lynn Perls
LAW OFFICE OF LYNN PERLS
Co-operating Attorney for NCLR
523 Lomas Blvd. NE
Albuquerque, NM 87102
Phone: (505) 891-8918
lynn@perlslaw.com

Maureen A. Sanders
Cooperating Attorney and Legal Panel
Member, ACLU-NM
SANDERS & WESTBROOK, P.C.
102 Granite Ave. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102
Phone: (505) 243-2243
m.sanderswestbrook@qwestoffice.net

J. Kate Girard
Co-operating Attorney for ACLU-NM
WRAY & GIRARD, P.C.
102 Granite Ave., N.W.
Albuquerque, NM 87102
Phone: (505) 842-8492
jkgirard@wraygirard.com

ATTORNEYS FOR INTERVENORS

Daniel A. Ivey-Soto
Special Counsel
1420 Carlisle Blvd. NE Suite 208
Albuquerque, NM 87110-5662
Phone: (505) 620-2085
daniel@nmclerks.org

Steven Kopelman
General Counsel
613 Old Santa Fe Trail
Santa Fe, NM 87505-0308
Phone: (505) 983-2101
skopelman@nmcounties.org

ATTORNEYS FOR MAGGIE TOULOUSE OLIVER,
BERNALILLO COUNTY CLERK

Randy M. Autio, Esq.
Peter S. Auh
Bernalillo County Attorney's Office
520 Lomas Blvd. NW, 4th Floor
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102-2118
rmautio@bernco.gov
pauh@bernco.gov

ATTORNEY FOR GERALDINE SALAZAR
SANTA FE COUNTY CLERK

Stephen C. Ross
Santa Fe County Attorney
102 Grant Ave.
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-0276
sross@co.santa-fe.nm.us

ATTORNEYS FOR THE STATE OF
NEW MEXICO

The Honorable Gary King
New Mexico Attorney General
Scott Fuqua
Assistant Attorney General
Post Office Box 1508
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-1508
gking@nmag.gov
sfuqua@nmag.gov



ROTHSTEIN, DONATELLI, HUGHES,
DAHLSTROM, SCHOENBURG &
BIENVENU LLP