1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Joanna S. McCallum (pro hac vice app. pending) jmccallum@manatt.com Gregory N. Pimstone (pro hac vice app. pending) gpimstone@manatt.com Ronald G. Blum (pro hac vice app. pending) rblum@manatt.com Lydia Mendoza (pro hac vice app. pending) lmendoza@manatt.com Sirena Castillo (pro hac vice app. pending) scastillo@manatt.com 11355 W. Olympic Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90064 Tel: (310) 312-4000			
9 10 11 12	Christopher B. Dupont, Ariz. Bar No. 014158 dupontlaw@cox.net 1726 North Seventh Street Phoenix, AZ 85006 Tel: (602) 344-0038			
13	4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
14				
15	FOR THE L	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
16	FRIENDLY HOUSE et al.,	) No. CV-10-01061-MEA		
17	Plaintiffs,	) PROPOSED AMICUS CURIAE ) BRIEF OF LEGAL MOMENTUM		
18	vs.	<ul><li>N SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS'</li><li>MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY</li></ul>		
19	MICHAEL B. WHITING et al.,	) INJUNCTION		
20	Defendants.	(Hon. Mark E. Aspey)		
21				
22				
23	4			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW				

Los Angeles

## Case 2:10-cv-01061-MEA Document 99 Filed 06/11/10 Page 2 of 32

1	The following organizations have expressed to Legal Momentum their support for			
2	Legal Momentum's amicus curiae brief:			
3	Alabama Coalition Against Domestic Violence			
4	American Friends Service Committee			
5	Arizona Coalition Against Domestic Violence			
6	Asian American Legal Advocacy Center, Inc.			
7	Asian & Pacific Islander American Health Forum			
8	Asian/Pacific Islander Domestic Violence Resource Project			
9	Asian Services in Action, Inc.			
10	Break the Cycle			
11	California Coalition Against Sexual Assault			
12	California Women's Law Center			
13	Casa de Esperanza (Minnesota)			
14	Casa de Esperanza (New Jersey)			
15	CASA de Maryland, Inc.			
16	Central American Resource Center			
17	Coalition of Labor Union Women			
18	Coalition to Abolish Slavery and Trafficking			
19	Colorado Coalition Against Domestic Violence			
20	Connecticut Sexual Assault Crisis Services, Inc.			
21	Counsel of Mexican Federations in North America/Consejo de Federaciones			
22	Mexicanas en Norteamerica			
23	Delaware Coalition Against Domestic Violence			
24	Diane Rosenfeld, J.D., LL.M.			
25	Enlace Comunitario			
26	Equal Rights Advocates			
27	Family Values @ Work Consortium			
28	Family Violence Prevention Fund			
PS & .P				

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

### Case 2:10-cv-01061-MEA Document 99 Filed 06/11/10 Page 3 of 32 Georgia Coalition Against Domestic Violence 1 Harbor Communities Overcoming Violence 2 3 Hawaii State Coalition Against Domestic Violence Human Rights Initiative of North Texas, Inc. Illinois Coalition Against Domestic Violence 5 Immigrant Law Center of Minnesota 6 7 Immigrant Legal Resource Center International Institute of the Bay Area 8 9 International Tribunal of Conscience Jane Doe Inc., the Massachusetts Coalition Against Sexual Assault and Domestic 10 11 Violence Kansas Coalition Against Sexual and Domestic Violence 12 13 Kentucky Coalition for Immigrant and Refugee Rights 14 King County Coalition Against Domestic Violence Korean American Family Service Center 15 16 Korean-American Women in Need Mexican-American Bar Association of Texas 17 Missouri Coalition Against Domestic and Sexual Violence 18 National Alliance to End Sexual Violence 19 20 National Asian Pacific American Women's Forum 21 National Association for Chicana and Chicano Studies National Center on Domestic and Sexual Violence 22 National Coalition Against Domestic Violence 23 National Coalition for Immigrant Women's Rights 24 National Council of Jewish Women 25 26 National Latina Institute for Reproductive Health National Network for Immigrant and Refugee Rights 27

National Network to End Domestic Violence

28

## Case 2:10-cv-01061-MEA Document 99 Filed 06/11/10 Page 4 of 32

1	National Partnership for Women and Families
2	National Women's Law Center
3	Neighborhood Legal Services
4	Nevada Network Against Domestic Violence
5	New Hampshire Coalition Against Domestic and Sexual Violence
6	New Jersey Coalition Against Sexual Assault
7	New Jersey Coalition for Battered Women
8	New Mexico Coalition of Sexual Assault Programs, Inc.
9	9 to 5, National Association of Working Women
10	North Carolina Coalition Against Domestic Violence
11	NOW Foundation
12	Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence
13	Raksha, Inc.
14	Rhode Island Coalition Against Domestic Violence
15	Safe Horizon
16	SafePlace
17	Santuario Sisterfarm
18	South Asian Americans Leading Together
19	South Carolina Coalition Against Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault
20	Southern Poverty Law Center
21	University of Cincinnati College of Law Domestic Violence and Civil Protection
22	Order Clinic
23	Vermont Network Against Domestic and Sexual Violence
24	Victim Rights Law Center
25	Voces de la Frontera
26	Washington State Coalition Against Domestic Violence
27	Wisconsin Coalition Against Domestic Violence
28	Wisconsin Coalition Against Sexual Assault
PS &	

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

### 1 TABLE OF CONTENTS 2 **Page** 3 I. SB 1070 INTERFERES WITH FEDERAL PROTECTIONS FOR II. 4 Immigrant Women Face Particular Challenges That Make Them 5 A. 6 Congress Has Enacted a Range of Special Immigration Protections В. 7 C. 8 SB 1070 CUTS IMMIGRANT WOMEN OFF FROM CRITICAL PUBLIC III. SERVICES PROVIDED BY FEDERAL LAW ...... 10 9 SB 1070 WILL INCREASE DETENTIONS OF IMMIGRANT MOTHERS IV. AND WILL HARM ARIZONA'S CHILDREN THROUGH MOTHER-10 11 V. 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	
2		Page
3	CASES	1 age
4	Diana H. v. Rubin,	
5	217 Ariz. 131 (2007)	14
6	In re Angelica L., 767 N.W.2d 74 (Neb. 2009)	. 13, 14
7	STATUTES AND REGULATIONS	
8	8 U.S.C. § 1229(e)	9
9	8 U.S.C. § 1367(a)	9
	8 U.S.C. § 1367(b)	9
10	8 U.S.C § 1611(b)(1)(D)	1
11	8 U.S.C § 1621(b)(4)	1
12	22 U.S.C. § 7105	7
13	42 U.S.C. § 1395(dd)	11
14	42 U.S.C. § 10602	8
15	Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-208, 110 Stat. 3009 (1996)	1, 7
16	Immigration Act of 1990 § 701, Pub. Law No. 101-649, 104 Stat. 6478 (1990)	
17	Immigration and Nationality Act § 101(a)(15)(T)	6
18	Immigration and Nationality Act § 101(a)(15)(U)	
19	Immigration and Nationality Act § 214(o)	6
20	Immigration and Nationality Act § 214(p)	6
21	Immigration and Nationality Act § 239(e)	9
	Immigration and Nationality Act § 245(l)	6
22	Immigration and Nationality Act § 245(m)	6
23	Legal Services Corporation Appropriations Act of 1997,	
24	Pub. L. No. 104-208 § 504 (a)(11), 110 Stat. 3009 (1997)	7
25	Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act, 8 U.S.C §§ 1611(b)(1)(D), 1621(b)(4)	1
26	Trafficking Victims Reauthorization Act of 2003,	
27	Pub. L. 108-193, 117 Stat. 2875 (2003)	5
28		
PS & P aw		

1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
2	(continued) Page
3	Trafficking Victims Reauthorization Act of 2005, Pub. L. 109-164, § 201, 119 Stat. 3558 (2005)
1 5	Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000, P.L. No. 106-386 (2000) §§ 1501-13
6	Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005, P.L. 109-162 (2006), §§ 801-34
3	Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994, H.R. 3355 (1994)
)	William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Act, Pub. L. 110-457 (2008)
)	62 Fed. Reg. 613449
	67 Fed. Reg. 4784 (Jan. 31, 2002)
	72 Fed. Reg. 53014 (Sept. 17, 2007)
	Interim Final Rule, "Adjustment of Status to Lawful Permanent Resident for Aliens in T or U Nonimmigrant Status," 73 Fed. Reg. 75540 (Dec. 1, 2008)
	<ul><li>U.S. Dep't of Justice, "Final Specification of Community Programs Necessary for Protection of Life or Safety Under Welfare Reform Legislation," A.G. Order No. 2353-2001, 66 Fed. Reg. 3613 (Jan. 16, 2001)</li></ul>
	45 C.F.R. § 1626.4
	Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 36-2903.03
	Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 41-2407
	Ariz. Senate Bill 1070
	OTHER AUTHORITIES
	American Cancer Society, Cancer Facts and Figures for Hispanics/Latinos 2003-2005, Table 1 at 1 (2003)
	Ammar, N. <i>et al.</i> , "Calls to Police and Police Response: A Case Study From the Latina Immigrant Women," 7 U.S. J. OF INT'L POLICE SCI. & MGM'T 230 (2005)
	Arizona Health Status and Vital Statistics, Distribution of Low-Birthweight (LBW) Births and LBW Risk by Number of Prenatal Visits and County Of Residence,
	Arizona, 2008

1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
2	(continued) Page
3	Bauer, T. <i>et al.</i> , "Challenges Obtaining Well-Baby Care Among Latina Mothers in New York and California" at 3, New York Forum for Child Health, New York Academy of Medicine, and University of California (Oct. 2003)
5	Bureau of Justice Assistance Anti-Human Trafficking Task Force Initiative
6 7	Capps, R. <i>et al.</i> , "Paying the Price: The Impact of Immigration Raids on America's Children," at 50-53, Report by the Urban Institute for the National Council of La Raza (2007)
8	Center for Disease Control, U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, Screening for Cervical Cancer, AHRQ Pub. No. 03-515A January 2003 at 1
10 11	Cervantes, W. & Lincroft Y., MBA, "The Impact of Immigration Enforcement on Child Welfare," Caught Between Systems: The Intersection of Immigration and Child Welfare Policies at 3 (First Focus and Migration and Child Welfare National Network March 2010)
12 13	Chaudry, A. <i>et al.</i> , Facing our Future, Children in the Aftermath of Immigration Enforcement, The Urban Institute at 26 (February 2010)
14 15	Coulter, M.L. <i>et al.</i> , "Police-Reporting Behavior and Victim-Police Interactions as Described by Women in a Domestic Violence Shelter," 14 J. INTERPERSONAL VIOLENCE 1290 (Dec. 1999)
16 17	"Department of Justice Appropriations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 2006 through 2009: Report of the Committee on the Judiciary, House of Representatives, to accompany H.R. 3402," H.R. Rep. No. 109-233 (2005)
18 19	Dutton, M.A. <i>et al.</i> , "Characteristics of Help-Seeking Behaviors, Resources, and Service Needs of Battered Immigrant Latinas: Legal and Policy Implications," 7 GEORGETOWN JOURNAL OF POVERTY, LAW AND POLICY 245 Table 12 (2000) 3
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	Gorman, A., "U-visa program for crime victims falters," Los Angeles Times (Jan. 26, 2009)
22	Hass, G.A. <i>et al.</i> , "Battered Immigrants and U.S. Citizen Spouses" at 3 (April 24, 2006)
23 24	Hogeland, C. & Rosen, K., "Dreams Lost, Dreams Found: Undocumented Women in the Land of Opportunity," Coalition for Immigrant and Refugee Rights and Services (1990)
25	House Judiciary Committee Report accompanying H.R. Rep. No. 103-3956
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	Jefferys, K., "Characteristics of Family-Sponsored Legal Permanent Residents: 2004," Office of Immigration Statistics, DHS (Oct. 2005)
28	Legal Services Corporation Program Letter 06-02 (Feb. 21, 2006)7
s &	

	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)
	Page Letter from the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development to HUD Funds Recipient (Jan. 19, 2001)
	Migration Policy Institute, MPI Data Hub, Arizona Fact Sheet (2008)
	Natarajan, M., "Domestic Violence Among Immigrants From India: What We Need to Know – and What We Should Do," 26 INT'L J. OF COMPARATIVE & APPLIED CRIMINAL JUSTICE 301 (Fall 2002)
F	Passel, J.S. & Cohn, D., A Portrait of Undocumented Immigrants in the United States ii (Pew Hispanic Center Apr. 14, 2009)
I	Raj, A. <i>et al.</i> , "Immigration Policies Increase South Asian Immigrant Women's Vulnerability to Intimate Partner Violence," 60 J. OF THE AMERICAN MEDICAL WOMEN'S ASS'N 26-32 (2005)
	Ramos, M.D. & Runner, M.W., "Cultural Considerations in Domestic Violence Cases: A National Judges Benchbook," San Francisco: State Justice Inst. & Family Violence Prevention Fund (1999)
	Rennison, C.M. & Welchans, S., "Intimate Partner Violence" 7, U.S. Dep't of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics Special Report (May 2000)
	Senate Judiciary Committee Report accompanying S.B. 103-138
	Statement of Rep. Conyers, 151 Cong. Rec. E2606-07 (2005) (statement of Rep. Conyers)
	The Future of Children, Low Birth Weight and Infant Mortality and Later Morbidity vol. 5 No. 1 Low Birth Weight (Spring 1995)
	"Under Siege: Life for Low Income Latinos in the South" at 28 (Southern Poverty Law Center, April 2009)5
	U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Memorandum re "Centralization of Interim Relief for U Nonimmigrant Status Applicants" (Oct. 8, 2003)
	U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Memorandum re "Interim Guidance Relating to Officer Procedure Following Enactment of VAWA 2005"  (Jan. 22, 2007)
	U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Memorandum re "Prosecutorial and Custody Discretion" (Nov. 7, 2007)
	U.S. Department of Justice, Memorandum re "Exercising Prosecutorial Discretion" (Nov. 17, 2000)
	U.S. Department of Justice, Memorandum re "Revocation of VAWA-Based Self-Petitions" (Aug. 5, 2002)

1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
2	(continued) Page
3	U.S. Department of Justice, Memorandum re "Supplemental Guidance on Battered Alien Self-Petitioning Process and Related Issues" (May 6, 1997)
4	U.S. Department of State, Trafficking in Persons Report at 15 (2004)
5	U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Memorandum re "Guidance:
6	Adjudicating Stay Requests Filed by U Nonimmigrant Status (U-visa)  Applicants (Sept. 24, 2009)
7 8	Unseen Prisoners: A Report on Women in Immigration Detention Facilities in Arizona (U. Ariz. Jan. 2009) at 44
9	"Violence Against Women: The Response to Rape; Detours on the Road to Equal Justice," Rpt. of the Senate Jud. Comm. Majority Staff, 103 Cong. (May 1993) 4
10 11	Women Immigrants: Stewards of the 21st Century Family at 26 (New American Media Feb. 2009)
12	"Women, Work, and Family Health: A Balancing Act," Issue Brief: An Update on
13	Women's Health Policy, The Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation (April 2003) 11
14	Wood, S.M., "VAWA's Unfinished Business: The Immigrant Women Who Fall Through the Cracks," 11 DUKE J. OF GENDER L. & POLICY 141 (2004)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
PS &	
P	

### PROPOSED AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF

### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

During the last two decades, the United States Congress and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) specifically and repeatedly acknowledged the particular vulnerabilities of immigrant women and the widespread barriers to assistance experienced by immigrant victims of domestic violence, trafficking, and sexual assault. Lack of immigration status often makes women more likely to be exploited in the workplace, at home, and in accessing services and their civil legal rights.

The federal government enacted protections for these most vulnerable members of our society — rights that Congress called "an essential step in forging a national consensus that our society will not tolerate violence against women." These laws establish special immigration protections to encourage immigrant women to report and fully participate in investigation of crimes and prosecution of perpetrators without fear of arrest and removal. DHS also issued policies designed to prevent the detention of immigrant women, acknowledging their roles as mothers and caretakers. Federal law further guarantees that all persons, without regard to immigration status, have access to programs and services necessary to protect life and safety, including shelter, emergency medical services, victim assistance, soup kitchens, and disaster relief.<sup>4</sup>

Arizona SB 1070 will change all of that. It will cause irreparable harm to immigrant women (nearly half of Arizona's immigrant population) and their children.<sup>5</sup>

Migration Policy Institute, MPI Data Hub, Arizona Fact Sheet (2008), available at http://www.migrationinformation.org/databub/state.cfm?ID-AZ.

ATT PHEIR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Senate Judiciary Committee Report accompanying S.B. 103-138 at 41-42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Victims of Trafficking and Violence Protection Act of 2000, P.L. No. 106-386 (2000) ("VAWA 2000") §§ 1501-13. The protections are not limited to women but women are at far greater risk than men of domestic and sexual violence and exploitation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Memorandum re "Prosecutorial and Custody Discretion" (Nov. 7, 2007); U.S. Department of Justice, Memorandum re "Exercising Prosecutorial Discretion" (Nov. 17, 2000).

<sup>4</sup> Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 ("IIRIRA"), Pub.

Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 ("IIRIRA"), Pub. L. No. 104-208, 110 Stat. 3009 (1996) (codified as amended in sections of 8 U.S.C.); Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act ("PRWORA"), 8 U.S.C §§ 1611(b)(1)(D), 1621(b)(4); U.S. Dep't of Justice, "Final Specification of Community Programs Necessary for Protection of Life or Safety Under Welfare Reform Legislation," A.G. Order No. 2353-2001, 66 Fed. Reg. 3613 (Jan. 16, 2001).

Whereas Arizona law enforcement agencies and officials previously helped ensure that immigrant women were not penalized for reporting crimes, SB 1070 requires law enforcement officers involved in any stop or investigation to detain and question upon "reasonable suspicion" that a person allegedly engaged in criminal activity may be undocumented. SB 1070 also makes it unlawful to harbor or shelter undocumented immigrants. A vast array of commonplace activities could support criminal detention under SB 1070, such as traffic infractions, jaywalking, or even simply being in the wrong place when law enforcement investigates a suspicion of employing or harboring undocumented immigrants.

Given law enforcement's wide and subjective discretion to stop and detain, as well as the fact that SB 1070 criminalizes efforts to harbor or shelter undocumented immigrants, these laws will cause immigrants to refrain from seeking federally established protections and be irreparably harmed. Indeed, since passage of the bill and even before the law has gone into effect, federally funded battered women's shelters have seen the number of immigrants willing to access these essential services plummet because victims fear detention and permanent separation from their children if they seek help. Arizona police could be stationed outside a battered women's shelter precisely because immigrant women are likely to use federally guaranteed life-saving services. Similarly, immigrant women face these fears when dropping their children off at child care, going to work, and seeking health and other services for themselves and their children. In effect, this law puts immigrant women in fear of police detention anytime they leave their homes.

By criminalizing efforts to harbor these immigrants and subjecting them to detention and questions, SB 1070 also undermines the ability of domestic violence shelters, rape crisis centers, and other victim-services providers to bring crime victims to court, to meetings with prosecutors, and to the hospital for treatment of critical injuries, causing irreparable harm.

SB 1070 creates a sub-class of women and children living in perpetual fear,

trapping many in violently abusive relationships or work environments. This law creates

an environment in which women who police think "look like immigrants" are never sure

whether they may be stopped and required to produce papers on demand; and in

particular, in which immigrant women who are crime victims or in need of food, shelter,

or essential medical services will rightly fear seeking redress that Congress set up

specifically for their benefit and protection. SB 1070 directly conflicts with federal laws

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

# II. SB 1070 INTERFERES WITH FEDERAL PROTECTIONS FOR IMMIGRANT WOMEN WHO ARE VICTIMS OF CRIME

and interests, it will cause irreparable harm, and it should be stricken.

## A. Immigrant Women Face Particular Challenges That Make Them Uniquely Susceptible to Crime and Other Abuse.

For reasons related to family, employment, and the problem of human trafficking, immigrant women are particularly likely to suffer abuse, violence and other crimes. Most immigrant women who seek lawful permanent resident status do so through the family immigration visa system.<sup>6</sup> In abusive relationships, abusers with control over their wives' and children's immigration status use threats of deportation and separation of mothers from children to keep them from seeking help or calling the police.<sup>7</sup> When a woman seeks legal immigration status based upon a family relationship, she is often placed in a long queue for a visa in which she languishes for many years without legal work

20

21

<sup>6</sup> Jefferys, K., "Characteristics of Family-Sponsored Legal Permanent Residents: 2004," Office of Immigration Statistics, DHS (Oct. 2005), "Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of All LPRs and Family-Sponsored LPRs: Fiscal Year 2004."

2223

Ammar, N. et al., "Calls to Police and Police Response: A Case Study From the Latina Immigrant Women," 7 U.S. J. OF INT'L POLICE SCI. & MGM'T 230, 239 (2005); Natarajan, M., "Domestic Violence Among Immigrants From India: What We Need to Know – and What We Should Do," 26 INT'L J. OF COMPARATIVE & APPLIED CRIMINAL JUSTICE 301, 310 (Fall 2002); Ramos, M.D. & Runner, M.W., "Cultural Considerations in Domestic Violence Cases: A National Judges Benchbook." San Francisco: State Justice Inst. &

2526

24

310 (Fall 2002); Ramos, M.D. & Runner, M.W., "Cultural Considerations in Domestic Violence Cases: A National Judges Benchbook," San Francisco: State Justice Inst. & Family Violence Prevention Fund (1999); Raj, A. et al., "Immigration Policies Increase South Asian Immigrant Women's Vulnerability to Intimate Partner Violence," 60 J. OF THE AMERICAN MEDICAL WOMEN'S ASS'N 26-32 (2005). When abusers controlled the immigration status of a victim spouse, 72.3% never filed immigration papers on her behalf. Those who did so delayed in filing, on average, almost 4 years. Dutton, M.A. et al., "Characteristics of Help-Seeking Behaviors, Resources, and Service Needs of

2728

Battered Immigrant Latinas: Legal and Policy Implications," 7 GEORGETOWN JOURNAL OF POVERTY, LAW AND POLICY 245, 259, 302, Table 12 (2000).

authorization.<sup>8</sup> If she needs to work, she is forced to obtain employment without status, which can make her vulnerable to exploitation by unscrupulous employers.

Many battered immigrant women report an increase in the incidence of abuse after their immigration to the United States. Among immigrant battered women from diverse cultures, 65% report that their spouses used threats of deportation and of not filing or withdrawing immigration papers as a control tactic in the abusive relationship. 10

Immigration status significantly affects the willingness of immigrant women to seek law enforcement help. Rape and sexual assault already have low reporting rates.<sup>11</sup> Immigrants who are victims or witnesses of sexual assault will be even less likely to report and aid in the prosecution. Immigrants with stable permanent immigration status are more than twice as likely as women with temporary legal immigration status to call police for help in domestic violence cases (43.1% vs. 20.8%). This rate decreased to 18.8% if the battered immigrant was undocumented.<sup>12</sup> These reporting rates are significantly lower than reporting rates of battered women generally in the United States (between 53% and 58%).<sup>13</sup>

In addition to domestic violence, immigrant women are specially affected by workplace abuse. Immigrant women constitute most of the workforce in the informal, sometimes underground, employment sector, serving as childcare workers, elder and home health care providers, domestic workers, hotel and office cleaners, and farm and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See http://www.travel.state.gov/visa/bulletin/bulletin\_4879.html (information or availability of visas).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Hogeland, C. & Rosen, K., "Dreams Lost, Dreams Found: Undocumented Women in the Land of Opportunity," Coalition for Immigrant and Refugee Rights and Services (1990) (48% report rise in family violence following immigration); Hass, G.A. *et al.*, "Battered Immigrants and U.S. Citizen Spouses" at 3 (April 24, 2006), *available at* http://legalm.convio.net/site/DocServer/dvusc.pdf?docID=314 (31% of immigrant victims reported rise in domestic violence following immigration).

<sup>&</sup>quot;Violence Against Women: The Response to Rape; Detours on the Road to Equal Justice," Rpt. of the Senate Jud. Comm. Majority Staff, 103 Cong. (May 1993).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Ammar, Ñ. *et al.*, *supra* n.7, at 236.
<sup>13</sup> Coulter, M.L. *et al.*, "Police-Reporting Behavior and Victim-Police Interactions as Described by Women in a Domestic Violence Shelter," 14 J. INTERPERSONAL VIOLENCE 1290, 1293 (Dec. 1999); Rennison, C.M. & Welchans, S., "Intimate Partner Violence" 7, U.S. Dep't of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics Special Report (May 2000).

8910

12 13

11

14

16

15

17

18

1920

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

factory workers. Because many immigrant women have no other options, employers have a perverse incentive to employ undocumented workers, who may be more freely subjected to exploitive or dangerous working conditions. Employers take advantage of such women's lack of stable immigration status and lack of language proficiency by creating or maintaining low wages and unsafe working conditions. Sexual harassment at work is reported by 77% of Latina immigrants.<sup>14</sup> Employers threaten reporting to immigration authorities to coerce sexual favors or to discourage reporting of abuse.<sup>15</sup>

In addition, human trafficking results in approximately 14,500-17,500 women, children, and men trafficked into the United States every year, the majority of whom are women and girls. Traffickers use force, fraud, or coercion to compel work and in many instances to subject workers to sexual violence. Already exploited by their traffickers who withhold wages, threaten deportation, and physically harm them, trafficked women are told by their traffickers that calling the police or anyone else will result in the victim's deportation. deportation.

# B. Congress Has Enacted a Range of Special Immigration Protections for Immigrant Crime Victims That SB 1070 Eviscerates.

Recognizing the severity of domestic abuse perpetrated against immigrant women, as well as the need for immigrant women and their children to access social services designed to help and support victims, Congress has specifically, and repeatedly, acted to protect the rights and well-being of immigrant victims.<sup>19</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> "Under Siege: Life for Low Income Latinos in the South" at 28 (Southern Poverty Law Center, April 2009).
<sup>15</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> U.S. Department of State, Trafficking in Persons Report at 15, 23 (2004), *available at* http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/34158.pdf. <sup>17</sup> *Id.* at 6, 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> *Id.* at 12.

In addition to the laws discussed herein, Congress also enacted protections for immigrant women in the Immigration Act of 1990 § 701, Pub. Law No. 101-649, 104 Stat. 6478 (1990) (battered spouse waiver); Trafficking Victims Reauthorization Act of 2003, Pub. L. 108-193, 117 Stat. 2875 (2003); Trafficking Victims Reauthorization Act of 2005, Pub. L. 109-164, §§ 101, 201, 119 Stat. 3558, 3560, 3567 (2005); and William Wilberforce Trafficking Victims Protection Act, Pub. L. 110-457 (2008) (expanding immigration relief, services and benefits for trafficking victims).

The Violence Against Women Act ("VAWA") is the centerpiece of congressional protections for immigrant victims of crime.<sup>20</sup> Originally enacted in 1994, and expanded in 2000 and 2005, VAWA encourages immigrant women to report crimes, including domestic violence, child abuse, sexual assault and human trafficking, regardless of immigration status. This reflects a strong congressional message that life, health, and safety come first, regardless of a woman's immigration status. VAWA 1994 includes findings that:

Domestic battery problems can become terribly exacerbated in marriages where one spouse is not a citizen, and the non-citizen[']s legal status

depends on his or her marriage to the abuser. Current law fosters domestic violence in such situations by placing full and complete control of the alien

spouse's ability to gain permanent legal status in the hands of the citizen . . . Consequently, a battered spouse may be deterred from taking action to

protect himself or herself, such as filing for a civil protection order, filing criminal charges, or calling the police, because of the threat or fear of

creating two visa categories for crime victims who cooperate with law enforcement: the

"T Visa" for victims of human trafficking and the "U Visa" for victims of domestic

violence, sexual assault, and other crimes.<sup>22</sup> Congress created the U Visa because "[a]ll

women and children who are victims of these crimes [including domestic violence and

sexual assault] committed against them in the United States must be able to report these

crimes to law enforcement and fully participate in the investigation of the crimes . . . and

the prosecution of the perpetrators . . . . . . . . . . . . Both the T and U Visa programs require

coordination with local law enforcement agencies and endorsement of the victims'

The 2000 VAWA amendments broadened protection beyond domestic violence by

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

<sup>20</sup> Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994, H.R. 3355 (1994).

<sup>21</sup> House Judiciary Committee Report accompanying H.R. Rep. No. 103-395 at 26.

<sup>22</sup> VAWA 2000 §§ 1501-13.

deportation.

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> VAWA 2000 § 1513(a)(1)(B); Immigration and Nationality Act §§ 101(a)(15)(T), 101(a)(15)(U), 214(o), 214(p), 245(l), 245(m); 67 Fed. Reg. 4784 (Jan. 31, 2002); 72 Fed. Reg. 53014 (Sept. 17, 2007); USCIS Interim Final Rule, "Adjustment of Status to Lawful Permanent Resident for Aliens in T or U Nonimmigrant Status," 73 Fed. Reg. 75540 (Dec. 1, 2008). In 2005, VAWA was amended again, to further increase protections and ease restrictions for battered immigrant women and their children. Violence Against Women and Department of Justice Reauthorization Act of 2005 ("VAWA 2005"), P.L. 109-162 (2006), §§ 801-34.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

cooperation in investigations and prosecutions.<sup>24</sup> The Department of Justice (DOJ) funds anti-trafficking task forces across the country that encourage coordination among service providers, law enforcement, and prosecutors, acknowledging that human trafficking cases cannot be prosecuted unless trafficking victims have access to services and the protection from deportation that come with the T Visa.<sup>25</sup> The city of Phoenix hosts one such federally funded task force.<sup>26</sup>

Similarly, Congress underscored its intent to protect battered immigrants in enacting IIRAIRA in 1996, adding battered immigrant women and children to the categories of immigrants qualified to receive welfare benefits that prior legislation took away.<sup>27</sup> IIRAIRA's restoration of benefits for battered immigrants reflected Congress's recognition that economic survival is a significant reason victims remain with abusers. IIRAIRA enables victims to break the dependency cycle.

Corporation to represent immigrant victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, trafficking, or other crimes in matters related to the abuse or victimization, even if the victim's immigration status would otherwise preclude representation. Similarly, the Federal Victims of Crime Act provided grants to states that have eligible victim compensation programs. Arizona, like nearly every other state and U.S. territory, receives this funding and places no restrictions on crime victim assistance eligibility due to immigration status, as long as the crime is reported to law enforcement within 72

21

22

23

<sup>24</sup> VAWA 2000 §§ 1501-13.

25

<sup>26</sup> *Id.*, map of Human Trafficking Task Forces, *available at* http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/BJA/grant/40HTTF.pdf.
<sup>27</sup> Pub. L. No. 104-208, 110 Stat. 3009 (1996). PRWORA had cut off access to public

26

benefits for many immigrant non-citizens.

28 Legal Services Corporation Appropriations Act of 1997, Pub. L. No. 104-208 § 504

2728

(a)(11), 110 Stat. 3009 (1997). VAWA 2005 expanded these protections. See Legal Services Corporation Program Letter 06-02 (Feb. 21, 2006); 45 C.F.R. § 1626.4; 22 U.S.C. § 7105; VAWA 2005 § 104.

NATT, PHELPS

<sup>24</sup> St Bu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Bureau of Justice Assistance Anti-Human Trafficking Task Force Initiative, *available at* http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/BJA/grant/httf.html.

1

4

5

11121314

10

16 17

15

18 19

20

21

2223

24

**4** 

25

2627

28

hours.<sup>29</sup> SB 1070 severely impairs the relationships between law enforcement and immigrant crime victims that Congress sought to strengthen by directing that DHS offer VAWA, T and U Visa protections for immigrant women.

### C. SB 1070 Undermines Immigrant Crime Victim Protections.

SB 1070 will irreparably harm immigrant women's ability to flee ongoing and escalating family and workplace violence. Immigrant women will stay longer in abusive situations, suffering increasing physical, sexual, and emotional injuries that may lead to death, while perpetrators go unpunished. The law will deter and significantly delay crime reporting by immigrant women and children, effectively cutting them off from all crime victim assistance and undermining criminal prosecutions in the State of Arizona. It will irreparably harm women who are afraid to come forward to report crimes and abuse, as it will allow crimes and abuse to continue, women and children to live in danger and fear, and the perpetrator to escape punishment. The law discourages immigrant women from taking advantage of rights and benefits Congress made available to ensure victim protection and enhance states' ability to prosecute criminals. Under SB 1070, an immigrant crime victim will have no incentive to, and in fact will be afraid to, reach out to law enforcement or federally guaranteed crime victim social services in Arizona, for fear of detention, separation from her children, and removal. In particular, SB 1070 will eliminate any reasonable possibility that a T or U Visa-eligible victim could access law enforcement for the purposes of cooperating in investigating or prosecuting crimes committed in Arizona. This harm cannot be undone.

Moreover, in contrast to SB 1070, federal law and guidelines are clear that not every immigrant who may be undocumented should be subject to immigration enforcement. Federal immigration officials are precluded from relying upon "reports" or information provided by abusers or traffickers to pursue enforcement actions against

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> 42 U.S.C. § 10602; Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 41-2407. In addition, numerous other federal benefits are available without regard to immigration status. *See* http://www.govbenefits.gov/govbenefits\_en.portal?\_nfpb=true&gb\_en\_questionnaire\_actionOverride=%2FQuestionnairePageFlow%2FValidateAnswersMoreQuestions&\_windowLabel=gb\_en\_questionnaire&\_pageLabel=gbcc\_page\_questionnaire.

from the United States, and cooperation with law enforcement.<sup>33</sup>

undocumented immigrant crime victims.<sup>30</sup> Federal immigration officials are strongly cautioned against arresting immigrants at "sensitive locations," such as rape crisis centers or domestic abuse shelters, because immigrants at these locations are likely to ultimately qualify for victim-based immigration benefits.<sup>31</sup> Nursing mothers and others with health conditions should not be held in detention.<sup>32</sup> DOJ has issued a list of factors that it and DHS use in exercising prosecutorial discretion not to initiate immigration enforcement actions, including humanitarian concerns, criminal and immigration history, length of time in the United States, eligibility for immigration relief, likelihood of ultimate removal

Another consequence of this law is that many immigrants who are lawfully in the United States will be subject to detention when Arizona law enforcement personnel are unfamiliar with a given immigration status or its documentation. The complexities of federal immigration law, the multiple types of legal immigration status, and the wide range of federally acceptable evidence documenting status will make it virtually impossible for local Arizona law enforcement authorities to implement the SB 1070 provisions in any fair, informed manner consistent with federal immigration law. For example, for the subset of legal immigrants eligible for public benefits, the Attorney General has issued guidance that contains nine pages, in small font, of the various types of documentation acceptable to establish citizenship, lawful permanent residency, and other qualified immigrant status.<sup>34</sup>

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> 8 U.S.C. § 1367(a), (b); *see also* "Department of Justice Appropriations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 2006 through 2009: Report of the Committee on the Judiciary, House of Representatives, to accompany H.R. 3402," H.R. Rep. No. 109-233, at 122 (2005); 151 Cong. Rec. E2606-07 (2005) (statement of Rep. Conyers).

Immigration and Nationality Act § 239(e); 8 U.S.C. 1229(e); DHS, Memorandum re "Interim Guidance Relating to Officer Procedure Following Enactment of VAWA 2005" at 5 (Jan. 22, 2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Nov. 7, 2007 Memorandum, *supra* n.3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> See Nov. 17, 2000 Memorandum, supra n.3, at 7-8. DHS also exercises prosecutorial discretion to stay removal of crime victims with pending U Visa applications. Jan. 22, 2007 Memorandum, supra n.31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> 62 Fed. Reg. 61344, 61363-371 (*e.g.*, asylees, refugees, and undocumented battered immigrant VAWA self-petitioners).

SB 1070 will likely lead to the detention and potential removal of immigrant

1 2 women who are in the process of obtaining legal immigration status under VAWA and 3 the Trafficking Victims Protection Act (which may involve months or even years of administrative processing<sup>35</sup>), because such victims receive documentation in the form of 4 "prima facie determinations" or "deferred action status," but do not receive an ID card or 5 formal judicial order. Federal policies advise that stays of removal be granted for persons 6 7 with pending U Visa applications who demonstrate prima facie eligibility, including consideration of "humanitarian factors." Moreover, due to VAWA's confidentiality 8 provisions, even federal immigration authorities may be unaware of an immigrant's 9 pending application for immigration relief unless the Victims and Trafficking Unit of the 10 Vermont Service Center – the centralized processing unit in which VAWA, T Visa and U 11 12 13

### Visa petitions are processed – is specifically contacted.<sup>37</sup> III. SB 1070 CUTS IMMIGRANT WOMEN OFF FROM CRITICAL PUBLIC SERVICES PROVIDED BY FEDERAL LAW

In addition to those social services available to immigrant crime victims, Congress

has ensured that certain federally funded benefits deemed necessary to life and safety are

available to all persons who need them – without regard to immigration status.

PRWORA cut off access of many immigrants to most federally funded benefits, but

Congress reserved the Attorney General's right to designate that certain services

necessary to protect life and safety are open to all without regard to immigration status.

35 Gorman, A., "U-visa program for crime victims falters," Los Angeles Times (Jan. 26,

The Attorney General's designation stated:

14

15

19

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

<sup>36</sup>U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Memorandum re "Guidance: Adjudicating" Stay Requests Filed by U Nonimmigrant Status (U-visa) Applicants (Sept. 24, 2009), http://www.ice.gov/doclib/foia/dro\_policy\_memos/11005\_1-hdavailable stay\_requests\_filed\_by\_u\_visa\_applicants.pdf. <sup>37</sup> Šee Jan. 22, 2007 Memorandum, supra n.31; U.S. Dep't of Justice, Memorandum re "Revocation of VAWA-Based Self-Petitions" (Aug. 5, 2002); U.S. Dep't of Homeland Security, Memorandum re "Centralization of Interim Relief for U Nonimmigrant Status Applicants" (Oct. 8, 2003); U.S. Dep't of Justice, Memorandum re "Supplemental Guidance on Battered Alien Self-Petitioning Process and Related Issues" (May 6, 1997); House Report, supra n.30.

3

4

6

5

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

Neither states nor other service providers may use [PRWORA] as a basis for prohibiting access of aliens to any programs, services, or assistance covered Unless an alien fails to meet eligibility requirements by this Order. provided by applicable law other than [PRWORA], benefit providers may not restrict the access of any alien to the services covered by this Order.

Nearly half of Arizona's immigrant population are women, and substantial proportions of immigrant women report that they head their households and are primarily responsible for decisions and transportation related to their children's health care and schooling.<sup>39</sup> Because anti-immigrant policies like SB 1070 create a climate of fear, 40 the law will cause significant harm to immigrant women by impeding their ability to access federally guaranteed benefits such as emergency Medicaid, 41 federally qualified community health clinics, emergency shelters and transitional housing, <sup>42</sup> soup kitchens, treatment for mental illness or substance abuse, crisis counseling and intervention, and violence and abuse prevention.<sup>43</sup> Federally funded clinics offer post-assault, pre-natal, and child health care, as well as care for uniquely female illnesses such as cervical cancer, which is far more prevalent among Latina women.<sup>44</sup> Federal money also supports

<sup>43</sup> Á.G. Order No. 2353-2001, *supra* n.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> A.G. Order 2353-2001, *supra* n.4, Preamble.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Migration Policy Institute, MPI Data Hub, Arizona Fact Sheet (2008), available at http://www.migrationinformation.org/databub/state.cfm?ID-AZ; Women Immigrants: Stewards of the 21st Century Family at 26 (New American Media Feb. 2009) (reporting the following percentages of immigrant women as heads of household: Latin American, 39%; African, 27%; Arabic, 18%; Chinese, 27%; Vietnamese, 19%; Korean, 18%); "Women, Work, and Family Health: A Balancing Act," Issue Brief: An Update on Women's Health Policy, The Henry J. Kaiser Family Foundation (April 2003), available http://www.kff.org/womenshealth/loader.cfm?url=/commonspot/security/getfile. cfm&PageID=14293.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Bauer, T. et al., "Challenges Obtaining Well-Baby Care Among Latina Mothers in New York and California" at 3, New York Forum for Child Health, New York Academy of California Medicine, University of (Oct. 2003), available http://www.nyam.org/initiatives/docs/NYCHChallenges2.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Árizona provides emergency Medicaid to undocumented immigrants who meet the other eligibility requirements. Ariz. Rev. Stat. § 36-2903.03. Emergency Medicaid provides

coverage for childbirth. 42 U.S.C. § 1395dd.

42 Letter from the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development to (Jan. **Funds** Recipient HUD 19, 2001), available http://www.legalmomentum.org/site/DocServer/appendixb-2.pdf?docID=222.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> A.G. Order No. 2353-2001, *supra* n.4, § 3(e); Center for Disease Control, U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, Screening for Cervical Cancer, AHRQ Pub. No. 03-515A January 2003 at 1; American Cancer Society, Cancer Facts and Figures for Hispanics/Latinos 2003-2005, Table 1 at 1 (2003).

critical post-assault services, such as sexual assault forensic exams (SAFE). Impeding access to pre-natal care leads to significantly higher rates of low birthweight births and thus a higher incidence of serious disabilities. 45 SB 1070 will deter immigrant women and their children from obtaining critical life-saving assistance, thereby undermining Congress's intent to maintain healthy, safe communities.<sup>46</sup> Every woman who needs such services and does not seek them for herself or her child will be irreparably harmed.

#### SB 1070 WILL INCREASE DETENTIONS OF IMMIGRANT MOTHERS IV. AND WILL HARM ARIZONA'S CHILDREN THROUGH MOTHER-**CHILD SEPARATIONS.**

SB 1070 exacerbates the likelihood that children will be separated from their immigrant parents. Sole and primary caretaker immigrant mothers will be deterred from undertaking day-to-day activities crucial to their children's healthy development. Immigrant children will be harmed if every time an immigrant mother leaves her home, she risks arrest, detention, and separation from her children.

In Arizona, 84.5% of children with at least one immigrant parent are U.S. citizens.47 The increase in local police involvement in immigration enforcement that SB 1070 mandates will cause far more parental separations than federal immigration enforcement actions.<sup>48</sup> The forced separations that SB 1070 will cause, whatever the duration, will cause significant and irreparable harm to children and violate immigrant mothers' constitutional rights to nurture, care for, and have custody and decision-making

21

22

<sup>45</sup> See Arizona Health Status and Vital Statistics, Distribution of Low-Birthweight (LBW) Births and LBW Risk by Number of Prenatal Visits and County Of Residence, Arizona, 2008, available at <a href="http://www.azdhs.gov/plan/report/ahs/ahs2008/pdf/5b21.pdf">http://www.azdhs.gov/plan/report/ahs/ahs2008/pdf/5b21.pdf</a>; The Future of Children, Low Birth Weight and Infant Mortality and Later Morbidity Birth Weight available Low (Spring 1995), http://futureofchildren.org/futureofchildren/publications/journals/article/index.xml?journal id=60&articleid=370&sectionid=2479.

23

<sup>46</sup> In addition, the U.S.-citizen children of immigrant parents may be eligible as citizens for a host of other benefits, but parents may be chilled from applying for such benefits for their children due to the same fears arising from their own immigration status.

25 26

24

<sup>47</sup> Passel, J.S. & Cohn, D., A Portrait of Undocumented Immigrants in the United States ii 2009), Hispanic Center 14. Apr. http://pewhispanic.org/files/reports/107.pdf; Migration Policy Institute, MPI Data Hub, Sheet Fact (2008),available http://www.migrationinformation.org/databub/state.cfm?ID-AZ.

27

<sup>48</sup> Chaudry, A. et al., Facing our Future, Children in the Aftermath of Immigration Enforcement, The Urban Institute at 26 (February 2010).

28

over their child's health, welfare, and development.<sup>49</sup> Detention of a mother who has been abused often results in children being turned over to the abusive spouse.<sup>50</sup>

The significant damage to the mother-child relationship and the health and well-being of children led federal immigration authorities to develop and implement "humanitarian guidelines" that attempt to promptly identify immigrants who are sole caregivers of children, to coordinate with social services agencies, and to consider release or alternatives to detention of immigrant parents, usually mothers. <sup>51</sup> DHS also has instructed that nursing mothers be released from detention. <sup>52</sup> Federal immigration policies direct the use of prosecutorial discretion to decline initiation of immigration enforcement actions against persons who ultimately will be awarded lawful immigration status. <sup>53</sup> SB 1070 contains no such protections or considerations. The law allows unsupported and improper detentions of lawfully present immigrants who fail to carry or possess specific forms of immigration documentation that the particular officer stopping the immigrant expects to see.

<sup>50</sup> Unseen Prisoners: A Report on Women in Immigration Detention Facilities in Arizona (U. Ariz. Jan. 2009) at 44, *available at* http://sirow.arizona.edu/files/UnseenPrisoners.pdf. Fear of separation from children is a primary reason abused immigrant women do not report domestic violence. Wood, S.M., "VAWA's Unfinished Business: The Immigrant Women Who Fall Through the Cracks," 11 DUKE J. OF GENDER L. & POLICY 141, 152-53 (2004)

(2004). <sup>51</sup>See Cervantes, W. & Lincroft Y., MBA, "The Impact of Immigration Enforcement on Child Welfare," Caught Between Systems: The Intersection of Immigration and Child Welfare Policies at 3 (First Focus and Migration and Child Welfare National Network March 2010), available at http://www.firstfocus.net/Download/Enforcement4.7.pdf; Nov. 17, 2000 Memorandum, supra n.3.

<sup>52</sup> Nov. 7, 2007 Memorandum, *supra* n.3.

Discussing the parental rights of undocumented, detained, and deported immigrant parents in the context of termination of parental rights proceedings, the Supreme Court of Nebraska unanimously ruled: "We have explained that the interest of parents in the care, custody, and control of their children is perhaps the oldest of the fundamental liberty interests recognized by the U.S. Supreme Court. Accordingly, before the State attempts to force a breakup of a natural family, over the objections of the parents and their children, the State must prove parental unfitness. . . . [T]he 'best interests' standard is subject to the overriding presumption that the relationship between parent and child is constitutionally protected and that the best interests of a child are served by reuniting the child with his or her parents. This presumption is overcome only when the parent has been proved unfit." In re Angelica L., 767 N.W.2d 74, 92 (Neb. 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Nov. 7, 2007 Memorandum, *supra* n.3; Nov. 17, 2000 Memorandum, *supra* n.3, at 7-8.

state child welfare agencies actively prevent or impede the immigrant's access to her children and ability to participate in custody and termination of parental rights proceedings. See generally In re Angelica L., 767 N.W. 2d 74 (2009). Systemic barriers in family court proceedings that impede immigrant mothers' ability to maintain custody of their children include language barriers; family court judges who base custody decisions on immigration status rather than parenting ability and the children's best interests as required by state law;<sup>54</sup> limited access to services; and reunification case-plan requirements imposed by child welfare authorities that make reunification virtually impossibility for many immigrant mothers.<sup>55</sup> Separations stemming from a mother's detention pose serious risks to children's

Mothers in detention face multiple barriers to reuniting with their children. Some

immediate safety, economic security, well-being, and long-term development, causing eating and sleeping disorders, anxiety, withdrawal, aggression, and academic and behavioral problems.<sup>56</sup> Largely because of this trauma, even mothers who are clearly eligible for immigration relief abandon their attempts to challenge removal proceedings so that they can gain speedy release from detention and be reunited with their children as soon as possible. An Arizona lawyer working with immigrant women reported that immigrant women's "needs are so different from men. All they want is their children. So it's very hard to work with them because they don't want to . . . hear 'you have to be here four months fighting your case.' They just say, 'You know, I don't care about my case; I care about my kids."57

22

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

<sup>54</sup> Diana H. v. Rubin, 217 Ariz. 131, 138 (2007).

<sup>55</sup> Cervantes & Lincroft, *supra* n.51, at 4-6.

<sup>57</sup> Capps, *supra* n.56, at 45.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Chaudry, supra n.48; Capps, R. et al., "Paying the Price: The Impact of Immigration Raids on America's Children," at 50-53, Report by the Urban Institute for the National of La Raza (2007),available at http://www.urban.org/UploadedPDF/411566\_immigration\_raids.pdf; Cervantes & Lincroft, supra n.51.

#### V. **CONCLUSION**

Dated: June 11, 2010

SB 1070 unravels years of federal immigration protections for women, enacted to encourage reporting of crimes and abuse and to ensure battered women and their children access to necessary immigration and health and welfare benefits. SB 1070 cuts off immigrant women from such benefits by requiring Arizona law enforcement to detain and question upon "reasonable suspicion" that a person is allegedly engaged in criminal activity, including the new Arizona crime of not carrying sufficient immigration papers. Local law enforcement officers lack experience with the nuances of lawful immigration presence under federal law and lack training to consider the particular vulnerabilities and humanitarian needs of immigrant mothers, crime victims, and children. Thus, SB 1070 deters immigrant women from so much as leaving their homes, let alone from affirmatively contacting law enforcement or going to schools, health care providers, and social service agencies related to the care and nurturing of their children. The law chills the exercise of legal rights, stops pursuit of justice system remedies, and cuts off immigrant women and their children from federally funded services that protect life and safety and prevent significant morbidity and mortality among immigrant women.

Respectfully submitted,

17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

26

27

28

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP 19 20 By: s/Joanna S. McCallum 21 JOANNA S. MCCALLUM 22 and TRAUTMAN DUPONT PLC 23 24 By: s/Christopher B. Dupont CHRISTOPHER B. DUPONT 25 Attorneys for Amicus Curiae LEGAL MOMENTUM

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE					
2	I hereby certify that on June 11, 2010, I electronically transmitted the attached					
3	document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing, and transmittal Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:					
4	Mary R. O'Grady Solicitor General	Attorneys for proposed Defendant- Intervenor State of Arizona				
5	Christopher A. Munns Assistant Attorney General					
6	1275 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2997					
7	Telephone: (602) 542-3333 Mary.OGrady@azag.gov					
8	Christopher.Munns@azag.gov					
9	John J. Bouma Robert A. Henry	Attorneys for proposed Defendant- Intervenor Janice K. Brewer,				
10	Joseph G. Adams SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.	Governor of The State of Arizona				
11	One Arizona Center 400 E. Van Buren					
12	Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202 Phone: (602) 382-6000					
13	Fax: (602) 382-6070 jbouma@swlaw.com					
14	bhenryswlaw.com jgadams@swlaw.com					
15	Joseph A. Kanefield	Attorneys for proposed Defendant-Office				
16	Office of Governor Janice K. Brewer 1700 W. Washington, 9th Floor	Intervenor Janice K. Brewer, Governor of The State of Arizona				
17	Phoenix, AZ 85007 Telephone: (602) 542-1586					
18	Fax: (602) 542-7602 jkanefield@az.gov					
19	Lance B. Payette	Attorneys for Defendants Bradley				
20	Deputy County Attorney Navajo County Attorney's Office	Carlyon and Kelly Clark				
21	P.O. Box 668 Holbrook, AZ 86025-0668					
22	Telephone: (928) 524-4002 <u>Lance.Payette@NavajoCountyAZ.gov</u>					
23	Omar C. Jadwat	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Friendly House;				
24	Lucas Guttentag Tanaz Moghadam	et al.				
25	AMERICĂN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS					
26	PROJECT 125 Broad Street, 18th Floor					
27	New York, New York 10004 Telephone: (212) 549-2660					
28 LPS &	Facsimile: (212) 549-2654 ojadwat@aclu.org					
LP						

	Case 2:10-cv-01061-MEA	Document 99	Filed 06/11/10	Page 28 of 32
1	lguttentag@aclu.org tmoghadam@aclu.org			
2	Linton Joaquin		Attorneys for	· Plaintiffs Friendly House;
3	Karen C. Tumlin Nora A. Preciado		et al.	Tumiyys Trienary House,
4	Melissa S. Keaney Vivek Mittal			
5	Ghazal Tajmiri		7 <b>D</b>	
6	NATIONAL IMMIGRATIO 3435 Wilshire Boulevard, Su	ite 2850	CK	
7	Los Angeles, California 900 Telephone: (213) 639-3900	10		
8	Facsimile: (213) 639-3911 joaquin@nilc.org			
9	tumlin@nilc.org preciado@nilc.org			
10	keaney@nilc.org mittal@nilc.org			
11	tajmiri@nilc.org		144	Dlainie Cain dhe Hanna
12	Thomas A. Saenz Cynthia Valenzuela Dixon		Allorneys Jor	· Plaintiffs Friendly House;
13	Victor Viramontes Gladys Limon			
14	Nicholas Espiritu MEXICAN AMERICAN LE AND EDUCATIONAL FUN		Ξ	
15	634 S. Spring Street, 11th Flo	oor		
16	Los Angeles, California 900 Telephone: (213) 629-2512 Facsimile: (213) 629-0266	14		
17	tsaenz@maldef.org			
18	cvalenzuela@maldef.org vviramontes@maldef.org			
19	glimon@maldef.org nespiritu@maldef.org			
20	Daniel J. Pochoda Anne Lai		Attorneys for et al.	Plaintiffs Friendly House;
21	ACLU FOUNDATION OF A 77 E. Columbus Street, Suite		ci di.	
22	Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Telephone: (602) 650-1854	203		
23	Facsimile: (602) 650-1376 dpochoda@acluaz.org			
24	alai@acluaz.org			
25	Nina Perales Ivan Espinoza-Madrigal		Attorneys for et al.	· Plaintiffs Friendly House;
26	MEXICAN AMERICAN LE AND EDUCATIONAL FUN			
27	110 Broadway Street, Suite 3 San Antonio, Texas 78205			
28	Telephone: (210) 224-5476			
LPS & LP LAW				

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES

	Case 2:10-cv-01061-MEA Document 99	Filed 06/11/10 Page 29 of 32
1	Facsimile: (210) 224-5382 nperales@maldef.org	
2	iespinoza@maldef.org	
3	Chris Newman	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Friendly House;
4	Lisa Kung NATIONAL DAY LABOR ORGANIZING NETWORK	et al.
5	675 S. Park View Street, Suite B	
6	Los Angeles, California 90057 Telephone: (213) 380-2785 Facsimile: (213) 380-2787	
7	newman@ndlon.org kung@ndlon.org	
8	Daniel R. Ortega, Jr.	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Friendly House;
9	ROUSH, MCCRACKEN, GUERRERO, MILLER & ORTEGA	et al.
10	1112 E. Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85034	
11	Telephone: (602) 253-3554 Facsimile: (602) 340-1896	
12	danny@rmgmo.com	
13	Cecillia D. Wang Harini P. Raghupathi	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Friendly House; et al.
14	AMERICAŇ CÍVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION IMMIGRANTS' RIGHTS	
15	PROJECT 39 Drumm Street	
16	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 343-0775	
17	Facsimile: (415) 395-0950 cwang@aclu.org	
18	hraghupathi@aclu.org	
19	Julie A. Su Ronald Lee	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Friendly House; et al.
20	Yungsuhn Park Connie Choi	
21	Carmina Ocampo ASIAN PACIFIC AMERICAN LEGAL	
22	CENTER, a member of Asian American Center for Advancing Justice	
23	1145 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 200 Los Angeles, California 90017	
24	Telephone: (213) 977-7500 Facsimile: (213) 977-7595	
25	jsu@apalc.org rlee@advancingequality.org	
26	yparkapalc.org cchoi9apalc.org	
27	cocampo@apalc.org	
28 LPS &	Laura D. Blackburne	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Friendly House;
LP Law		

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

	Case 2:10-cv-01061-MEA	Document 99	Filed 06/11/10	Page 30 of 32
1 2	NATIONAL ASSOCIATION ADVANCEMENT OF COL PEOPLE (NAACP)		et al.	
3	4805 Mt. Hope Drive Baltimore, Maryland 21215			
4	Telephone: (410) 580-5700 lblackburne@naacpnet.org			
5	Bradley S. Phillips		Attorneys for	· Plaintiffs Friendly House;
6	Paul J. Watford Joseph J. Ybarra		et al.	
7	Elisabeth J. Neubauer MUNGER, TOLLES & OLS	SON LLP		
8	355 South Grand Avenue Thirty-Fifth Floor	(0		
9	Los Ángeles, CA 90071-150 Telephone: (213) 683-9100	00		
10	Facsimile: (213) 687-3702 Brad.Phillips@mto.com Paul.Watford@mto.com			
11	Joseph. Ybarra@mto.com Elisabeth. Neubauer@mto.co	nm		
12	Susan Traub Boyd	7111	Attorneys for	· Plaintiffs Friendly House;
13	Yuval Miller MUNGER, TOLLES & OLS	SON LLP	et al.	1 tannings 1 remaily 11 case,
14	560 Mission Street Twenty-Seventh Floor			
15	San Francisco, CA 94105-2 Telephone: (415) 512-4000	907		
16	Facsimile: (415) 512-4077 Susan.Boyd@mto.com			
17	Yuval.Miller@mto.com			
18			/s/Christophe	er B. Dupont opher B. Dupont
19			Christ	opner B. Dupont
20 21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES			4	

1	I hereby certify that on June 11, 2010, I served the attached document by U.S.					
2						
3	Mr. Kenny Angle Graham County Attorney	Mr. Preston Allred c/o Legal Liaison Graham County Sheriff 523 10th Avenue				
4	800 West Main Street Safford, AZ 85546					
5		Safford, AZ 85546				
6	Mr. John R. Armer c/o Legal Liaison Gila County Sheriff 1400 East Ash Street	Mr. Larry A. Dever c/o Legal Liaison Cochise County Sheriff 205 North Judd Drive Bisbee, AZ 85603				
7						
8	Globe, AZ 85501					
9	Mr. Joseph M. Arpaio c/o Legal Liaison	Mr. Clarence Dupnik c/o Legal Liaison				
10	Maricopa County Sheriff 100 West Washington	Pima County Sheriff 1750 E. Benson Highway Tucson, AZ 85714				
11	Phoenix, AZ 85003					
12	Mr. Paul Babeu c/o Legal Liaison	Mr. Tony Estrada c/o Legal Liaison				
13	Pinal County Sheriff	Santa Cruz County Sheriff 1250 N. Hohokam Drive Nogales, AZ 85621				
14	971 Jason Lopez Circle Florence, AZ 85132					
15	Mr. Jon R. Smith	Ms. Daisy Flores Gila County Attorney 1400 East Ash Street Globe AZ 85501				
16	Yuma County Attorney 250 West 2nd Street, Suite G					
17	Yuma, AZ 85364	Globe, AZ 85501				
18	Ms. Barbara LaWall Pima County Attorney	Mr. Edward G. Rheinheimer Cochise County Attorney				
19	32 North Stone Avenue, Suite 1400 Tucson, AZ 85701	150 Quality Hill Road, 2nd Floor Bisbee, AZ 85603				
20	Mr. Donald Lowery c/o Legal Liaison	Mr. Richard M. Romley Maricopa County Attorney				
21	La Paz County Sheriff 1109 Arizona Avenue	301 West Jefferson Street, Suite 800				
22	Parker, AZ 85344	Phoenix, AZ 85003				
23	Mr. Joseph Dedman, Jr.	Mr. Matthew J. Smith				
24	c/o Legal Liaison Apache County Sheriff	Mohave County Attorney 315 North 4th Street				
25	370 South Washington St. Johns, AZ 85936	Kingman, AZ 86401				
26	Mr. Ralph Ogden c/o Legal Liaison	Mr. George Silva				
27	Yuma County Sheriff 141 S. 3rd Avenue	Santa Cruz County Attorney 2150 North Congress Drive, Suite 201 Nogales A 7, 85621				
28	Yuma, AZ 85364	Nogales, AZ 85621				
0						

MANATT, PHELPS & PHILLIPS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

ĺ	Case 2:10-cv-01061-MEA Doc	cument 99	Filed 06/11/10	Page 32 of 32
1	Ms. Sheila Polk		Mr. Steven N	Tuokar
2	Yavapai County Attorney 2830 North Commonwealth Drive	ve	c/o Legal Lia Greenlee Cou	ison
3	Suite 106 Camp Verde, AZ 86322	C	223 Fifth Stre Clifton, AZ	eet
4	Mr. Bill Pribil		Mr. Sam Ved	
5	c/o Legal Liaison Coconino County Sheriff		La Paz Coun 1320 Kofa A	
6	911 E. Sawmill Åd. Flagstaff, AZ 86001		Parker, AZ 8	35344
7	Mr. Derek Rapier		Mr. Steve Wa	
8	Greenlee County Attorney 223 Fifth Street		c/o Legal Lia Yavapai Cou	nty Sheriff
9	Clifton, AZ 85533		255 É. Gurley Prescott, AZ	
10	Mr. Michael B. Whiting		Mr. James W	
11	Apache County Attorney 245 W. 1st South St. Johns, AZ 85936		Pinal County 30 North Flor Florence, AZ	rence Street, Building D
12 13	Mr. David Rozema		Mr. Tom She	
13	Coconino County Attorney 110 East Cherry Avenue		c/o Legal Lia Mohave Cou	ison
15	Flagstaff, AZ 86001		600 W. Beald Kingman, AZ	e Štreet
16			/s/Brigette Sc	coggins te Scoggins
17			Diiget	ic ocoggins
18				
19	300110878.2			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28 MANATT, PHELPS &				
PHILLIPS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW LOS ANGELES		,	2	