

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry No.	CADRE No.	Description of Document and Information Withheld	Date of Doc.	Disposition	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited
1	C06235713	Agency Regulation 2-2 entitled "Law and Policy Governing the Conduct of Intelligence Activities." This regulation and its annexes (noted below) set forth the provisions of Executive Order 12333 and its implementing procedures governing the conduct of intelligence activities of the CIA. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods.	12/23/87	Released in part (RIP)	41	(b)(1), (b)(3)
2	C06235714	Annex A to Agency Regulation 2-2 entitled "Guidance for CIA Activities Outside the United States." Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods.	12/23/87	RIP	17	(b)(1), (b)(3)
3	C06235715	Annex B to Agency Regulation 2-2 entitled "Guidance for CIA Activities within the United States." Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect names of CIA officers and internal offices.	12/23/87	RIP	15	(b)(1), (b)(3)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry No.	CADRE No.	Description of Document and Information Withheld	Date of Doc.	Disposition	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited
4	C06235758	Annex E to Agency Regulation 2-2 entitled "Memorandum of Understanding Concerning Overseas and Domestic Activities of the CIA and FBI." Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect names of CIA officers and internal offices. Exemption (b)(7)(e) applies to certain information that would disclose procedures and guidelines for law enforcement investigations.	12/23/87	RIP	11	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(7)(e)
5	C06235759	Annex F to Agency Regulation 2-2 entitled "Procedures Governing Conduct and Coordination by CIA and DEA Narcotics Activities Abroad." Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence activities related to specific collection techniques.	12/23/87	RIP	11	(b)(1), (b)(3)
6	C06235760	Annex G to Agency Regulation 2-2 entitled "Appendices to Annexes A and B." Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect names of CIA officers and internal offices.	12/23/87	RIP	12	(b)(1), (b)(3)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry No.	CADRE No.	Description of Document and Information Withheld	Date of Doc.	Disposition	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited
7	C06229027	Talking points on the CIA and EO 12333 for the ICIG Boston Review Forum. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the Agency identification number of a CIA employee.	06/2013	RIP	13	(b)(1), (b)(3)
8	C06234421	Fiscal Year 2008 Annual Report of the National Clandestine Service submitted to the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on specified CIA activities related to E.O. 12333. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees. Portions of this document are non-responsive.	11/24/09	RIP	36	(b)(1), (b)(3)
9	C06235700	Training slides entitled "Conduct of Intelligence Activities Legal Authorities." Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, Agency identification number, and contact information. Portions of this document are non-responsive.	Undated	RIP	19	(b)(1), (b)(3)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry No.	CADRE No.	Description of Document and Information Withheld	Date of Doc.	Disposition	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited
10	C06236483	CIA Inspector General's Intelligence Activity Assessment regarding the Agency's compliance with E.O. 12333. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of internal offices.	08/07/02	RIP	52	(b)(1), (b)(3)
11	C06229019	This document is a training handout entitled "AR 2-2 Collection Rules." Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods.	01/01/14	RIP	1	(b)(1), (b)(3)
12	C06317256	Fiscal Year 2012 National Clandestine Service Report submitted to the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence on specified CIA activities related to E.O. 12333. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees and Agency identification numbers. Portions of this document are non-responsive.	2012	RIP	44	(b)(1), (b)(3)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

13	C06229009	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney to CIA component providing legal guidance on specific issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	11/03/08	DIF	6	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
14	C06229048	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney to a CIA component providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	09/09/04	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

15	C06229055	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney to a CIA component providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	01/31/06	DIF	12	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
16	C06229106	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney to a CIA component providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	10/03/11	DIF	97	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

17	C06229111	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney to a CIA component providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	03/04/02	DIF	13	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
18	C06229116	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	06/22/07	DIF	20	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

19	C06229118	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	02/19/08	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
20	C06229124	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	02/19/08	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

21	C06229127	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	10/09/12	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
22	C06229132	<p>Classified correspondence between CIA and the National Security Council providing guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations.</p>	03/04/02	DIF	26	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

23	C06229133	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	06/22/07	DIF	19	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
24	C06229134	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	05/07/07	DIF	16	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

25	C06229136	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue and related attachments providing relevant factual background. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	02/15/08	DIF	14	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
26	C06229138	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue and related attachments providing relevant factual background. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	06/24/03	DIF	24	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

27	C06229142	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	07/02/13	DIF	6	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
28	C06236489	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	10/30/03	DIF	9	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

29	C06229135	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	01/11/05	DIF	11	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
30	C06233945	<p>Classified report from CIA Office of Inspector General to the CIA Director regarding compliance with E.O. 12333 in connection with a particular intelligence activity. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees.</p>	08/07/02	DIF	9	(b)(1), (b)(3)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

31	C06233948	Classified correspondence from DOJ to CIA providing legal advice in response to request for such advice. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by the CIA and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	09/26/01	DIF	6	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
32	C06234422	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	09/14/05	DIF	11	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

33	C06234426	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	07/31/03	DIF	1	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
34	C06234432	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	05/01/02	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

35	C06234437	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	03/22/02	DIF	4	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
36	C06234450	Classified correspondence from National Security Council to CIA providing guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers.	03/04/02	DIF	1	(b)(1), (b)(3)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

37	C06234478	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	06/24/03	DIF	6	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
38	C06236409	<p>Classified correspondence from DOJ to CIA providing legal advice in response to request for such advice. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by the CIA and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	06/09/03	DIF	18	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

39	C06236487	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	06/24/03	DIF	4	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
40	C06236490	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	04/23/04	DIF	3	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

41	C06236496	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	02/14/05	DIF	2	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
42	C06236499	Classified talking points and presentation notes prepared for briefing on E.O. 12333. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations.	01/28/15	DIF	13	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

43	C06236501	Classified talking points and presentation notes prepared for briefing on E.O. 12333. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations.	01/28/15	DIF	3	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
44	C06229006	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	05/29/13	DIF	17	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

45	C06240506	Classified talking points and presentation notes prepared for briefing on E.O. 12333 consisting of hypotheticals based on real situations. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations.	Undated	DIF	2	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
46	C06240507	Classified talking points on E.O. 12333. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations.	Undated	DIF	1	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

47	C06240509	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	Undated	DIF	2	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
48	C06240511	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	02/28/07	DIF	9	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

49	C06240613	Classified correspondence from CIA to DOJ memorializing legal advice provided in connection with a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	2006	DIF	7	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
50	C06240617	Classified correspondence from CIA to DOJ memorializing legal advice provided in connection with a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	2002	DIF	11	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

51	C06240652	Classified correspondence from CIA to DOJ memorializing legal advice provided in connection with a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	2004	DIF	8	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
52	C06240653	Classified correspondence from CIA to DOJ memorializing legal advice provided in connection with a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	2004	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

53	C06240654	Classified correspondence from CIA to DOJ memorializing legal advice provided in connection with a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	2005	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
54	C06240656	Classified correspondence from CIA to DOJ memorializing legal advice provided in connection with a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	2007	DIF	7	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

55	C06240657	Classified correspondence from DOJ to CIA providing legal advice in response to request for such advice. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by the CIA and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	2007	DIF	4	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
56	C06240738	Classified correspondence from CIA to DOJ memorializing legal advice provided in connection with a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	2004	DIF	11	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

57	C06240740	Classified correspondence from CIA to DOJ memorializing legal advice provided in connection with a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	2006	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
58	C06240761	Classified correspondence from DOJ to CIA providing legal advice in response to request for such advice. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by the CIA and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	2003	DIF	4	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

59	C06240762	Classified correspondence from DOJ to CIA providing legal advice in response to request for such advice. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by the CIA and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	2003	DIF	9	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
60	C06240763	Classified correspondence from DOJ to CIA providing legal advice in response to request for such advice. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by the CIA and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	2003	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

61	C06240766	Classified correspondence from CIA to DOJ memorializing legal advice provided in connection with a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by the CIA and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	2006	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
62	C06244650	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	07/26/05	DIF	2	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

63	C06244651	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	03/28/05	DIF	8	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
64	C06244652	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	08/15/05	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

65	C06244654	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	09/07/04	DIF	11	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
66	C06244658	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	01/18/08	DIF	3	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

67	C06244661	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	05/21/07	DIF	12	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
68	C06244662	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	04/18/05	DIF	2	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

69	C06244664	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	06/03/05	DIF	2	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
70	C06244666	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	06/24/13	DIF	3	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

71	C06244667	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	11/06/07	DIF	3	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
72	C06244668	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	11/08/07	DIF	4	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

73	C06244670	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	06/04/13	DIF	4	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
74	C06244671	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	08/20/13	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

75	C06244672	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	06/25/13	DIF	3	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
76	C06244675	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	03/02/05	DIF	2	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

77	C06238830	Classified follow-up responses to questions posed by the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence about the Fiscal Year 2009 Annual Report of the National Clandestine Service on specified CIA activities related to E.O. 12333. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees. Portions of this document are non-responsive.	04/21/10	DIF	7	(b)(1), (b)(3)
78	C06244679	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	07/01/13	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

79	C06244680	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	07/27/06	DIF	3	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
80	C06316198	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	08/23/06	DIF	4	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

81	C06244682	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	01/09/09	DIF	23	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
82	C06244684	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	03/15/13	DIF	8	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

83	C06244686	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	01/09/09	DIF	12	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
84	C06244687	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	01/19/11	DIF	8	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

85	C06244688	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as CONFIDENTIAL pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	01/11/08	DIF	2	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
86	C06244690	<p>Memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	03/13/06	DIF	6	(b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

87	C06244691	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	09/15/08	DIF	3	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
88	C06244695	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	05/15/08	DIF	2	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

89	C06244696	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	07/17/12	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
90	C06244697	Memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	11/15/04	DIF	7	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

91	C06245871	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	04/14/09	DIF	6	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
92	C06229135	Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.	01/11/05	DIF	7	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

ACLU v. NSA, et al., No. 13-09198 (AT)
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

93	C06244655	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as TOP SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	01/28/08	DIF	5	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)
94	C0623441	<p>Classified memorandum from CIA attorney providing legal advice in response to a request for legal guidance on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(1) applies to certain information that is classified as SECRET pursuant to 1.4(c) of Executive Order 13526 (specific intelligence sources, methods and activities). This information is also protected under Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act and CIA Act). The National Security Act was asserted to protect specific intelligence sources and methods. Additionally, the CIA Act was asserted to protect the names of Agency employees, contact information and Agency identification numbers. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect the entire document which consists of legal advice that was solicited by an Agency component and information provided by the component in furtherance of that advice.</p>	11/10/99	DIF	3	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(5)

C06042630

F-2013-01775

LEGAL DEPARTMENT



May 13, 2013

BY USPS MAIL

Information and Privacy Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, DC 20505

RE: FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST

To Whom It May Concern:

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION
NATIONAL OFFICE
125 BROAD STREET,
15TH FL.
NEW YORK, NY 10038-7199
212.549.2500
212.549.2852
WWW.ACLU.ORG

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS
SUSAN N. HERMAN PRESIDENT

ANTHONY D. ROMERO
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

The American Civil Liberties Union and the American Civil Liberties Union Foundation (together, the "ACLU") submit this request under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552, for access to documents relating to Executive Order 12,333, 3 C.F.R. 200 (1981 Comp.) ("EO 12,333"). Specifically, we request the following records¹:

1. Any records construing or interpreting the authority of the Central Intelligence Agency ("Agency") under Executive Order 12,333 or any regulations issued thereunder;
2. Any records describing the minimization procedures² used by the Agency with regard to both intelligence collection and intelligence interception conducted pursuant to the Agency's authority under EO 12,333 or any regulations issued thereunder; and
3. Any records describing the standards that must be satisfied for the "collection," "acquisition," or "interception" of communications, as the Agency defines these terms, pursuant to the Agency's authority under EO 12,333 or any regulations issued thereunder.

¹ Records include but are not limited to electronic records, letters, correspondence, tape recordings, notes, data, memoranda, reports, email, computer source and object code, technical manuals, technical specifications, legal opinions, policy statements, and any other materials.

² Minimization procedures include but are not limited to rules, policies, or procedures addressing the collection, interception, handling, use, retention, and destruction of information relating to U.S. persons that is acquired in the course of intelligence activities.

MAY 23 2013

C06042630

Request for a Fee Limitation and Public Interest Fee Waiver

The ACLU requests a waiver of search and review fees because the requested records are not sought for commercial use and because the ACLU is a “representative of the news media.” 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II). Dissemination of information about actual or alleged government activity is a critical and substantial component of the ACLU’s mission and work. The ACLU disseminates this information to educate the public and promote the protection of civil liberties. Its regular means of disseminating and editorializing information obtained through FOIA requests include: a paper newsletter distributed to approximately 450,000 people; a bi-weekly electronic newsletter distributed to approximately 300,000 subscribers; published reports, books, pamphlets, and fact sheets; a widely read blog; heavily visited websites, including an accountability microsite, <http://www.aclu.org/accountability>; and a video series.

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION
NATIONAL OFFICE
125 BROAD STREET,
15TH FL.
NEW YORK, NY 10004-2400
212 549 2500
212 549 7451
WWW.ACLU.ORG

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS
SUSAN N. BERMAN PRESIDENT

ANTHONY D. ROMERO
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

The ACLU therefore meets the statutory definition of a “representative of the news media” as an “entity that gathers information of potential interest to a segment of the public, uses its editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work, and distributes that work to an audience.” 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii); *see also Nat’l Sec. Archive v. Dep’t of Def.*, 880 F.2d 1381, 1387 (D.C. Cir. 1989); *cf. Am. Civil Liberties Union v. Dep’t of Justice*, 321 F. Supp. 2d 24, 29 n.5 (D.D.C. 2004) (finding non-profit public interest group to be “primarily engaged in disseminating information”). Indeed, the ACLU recently was held to be a “representative of the news media.” *Serv. Women’s Action Network v. Dep’t of Defense*, 888 F. Supp. 2d 282, 287-88 (D. Conn. 2012); *see also Am. Civil Liberties Union of Wash. v. Dep’t of Justice*, No. C09-0642RSL, 2011 WL 887731, at *10 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 10, 2011) (finding ACLU of Washington to be a “representative of the news media”), *reconsidered in part on other grounds*, 2011 WL 1900140 (W.D. Wash. May 19, 2011).

The ACLU also requests a waiver of all search, review, or duplication fees on the ground that disclosure of the requested information is in the public interest because: (1) it “is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the government,” and (2) it “is not primarily in the commercial interest of the requester.” 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii). This request clearly satisfies these criteria.

First, the requested material concerns “the operations or activities” of the Agency. E.O. 12,333 is “intended to enhance human and technical collection techniques, especially those undertaken abroad, and the acquisition of significant foreign intelligence, as well as the detection and countering of international terrorist activities and espionage conducted by foreign powers.” EO 12,333 § 2.2. It authorizes the intelligence community, including the Agency, to collect intelligence, and it sets forth certain limitations on intelligence-gathering activities relevant to civil liberties. In its brief in a

C06042630

recent case before the Supreme Court of the United States, the Government emphasized its authority to conduct surveillance of Americans' foreign contacts abroad under Executive Order No. 12,333, without conforming to various statutory restrictions. Brief for Petitioners, *Clapper v. Amnesty Int'l USA*, No. 11-1025, 2012 WL 3090949, at *45 (U.S. 2012). How the Government actually does this, and whether it appropriately accommodates the constitutional rights of American citizens and residents whose communications are intercepted in the course of that surveillance, are matters of great significance.

Moreover, the requested materials will "contribute significantly to the public understanding" of the Agency's operations or activities. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(iii). Though the subject of foreign-intelligence collection is a matter of great public interest and concern, little information on how the American intelligence community construes the authority conferred by EO 12,333 and its implementing regulations is currently publicly available.

For example, in the *Clapper* brief described above, the government makes no argument beyond a handful of one-sentence assertions of its authority under EO 12,333. *See* Brief for Petitioners, *Clapper v. Amnesty Int'l USA*, No. 11-1025, 2012 WL 3090949 at *4, *33, *41, *45. Likewise, the publicly available administrative agency materials typically do little more than restate EO 12,333's limits on the intelligence community in slightly different ways or provide predictable definitions for terms left undefined in the executive order. *See, e.g.*, Dep't of Def., DOD 5240 1-R, *Procedures Governing the Activities of DOD Intelligence Components that Affect United States Persons* § C2.3.12 (Dec. 1982); Nat'l Sec. Agency, *United States Signals Intelligence Directive* 18 (July 1993); Army Regulation 381-10, *U.S. Army Intelligence Procedures* § 2-2(l) (2007). Judicial treatments of EO 12,333 contribute equally little to the public understanding of the limits of intelligence-gathering powers under EO 12,333. *See, e.g.*, *United States v. Marzook*, 435 F. Supp. 2d 778 (N.D. Ill. 2006); *United States v. Poindexter*, 727 F. Supp. 1470 (D.D.C. 1989); *United Presbyterian Church in the U.S.A. v. Reagan*, 738 F.2d 1375 (D.C. Cir. 1984).

For these reasons, we respectfully request that all fees related to the search, review, and duplication of the requested records be waived. If the search and review fees will not be waived, we ask that you contact us at the email address listed below should the estimated fees resulting from this request exceed \$100.

We request that responsive electronic records be provided electronically in their native file format, if possible. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(3)(B). Alternatively, we request that the records be provided electronically in a text-searchable, static-image format (PDF), in the best

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
 UNION FOUNDATION
 NATIONAL OFFICE
 125 BROAD STREET,
 18TH FL
 NEW YORK, NY 10004-2400
 (212) 549-1500
 (212) 549-1600
 WWW.ACLU.ORG

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS
 SUSAN N. HERMAN, PRESIDENT

ANTHONY D. ROMERO
 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

C06042630

image quality in the agency's possession, and in separate, Bates-stamped files.

We also request that you provide an estimated date on which you will finish processing this request. *See* 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(7)(B).

If this FOIA request is denied in whole or in part, please provide the reasons for the denial, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i). In addition, please release all segregable portions of otherwise exempt material in accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(b). Furthermore, if any documents responsive to this request are classified, please identify those documents, including a date and document number where possible, so we may begin the process of requesting a Mandatory Declassification Review under the terms of Executive Order 13,526 (2010).

Thank you for your consideration of this request. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact us at the email address listed below. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i), we expect a response regarding this request within the twenty working-day statutory time limit.

Sincerely,



Alexander Abdo
Staff Attorney
National Security Project
American Civil Liberties Union

Phone: (212) 549-2517
Email: aabdo@aclu.org

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES
UNION FOUNDATION
NATIONAL OFFICE
115 BROAD STREET,
18TH FL.
NEW YORK, NY 10004-2470
TEL: 549-2500
FAX: 549-2551
WWW.ACLU.ORG

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS
SUSAN N. HERMAN, PRESIDENT

ANTHONY D. ROMERO
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Central Intelligence Agency



Washington, D.C. 20505

26 July 2013

Mr. Alexander Abdo
Staff Attorney
National Security Project
American Civil Liberties Union
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
New York, NY 10004-2400

Reference: F-2013-01775

Dear Mr. Abdo:

This is a final response to your 13 May 2013 Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, submitted on behalf of the American Civil Liberties Union, received in the office of the Information and Privacy Coordinator on 23 May 2013, for:

1. Any records construing or interpreting the authority of the Central Intelligence Agency ("Agency") under Executive Order 12,333 or any regulations issued thereunder;
2. Any records describing the minimization procedures used by the Agency with regard to both intelligence collection and intelligence interception conducted pursuant to the Agency's authority under EO 12,333 or any regulations issued thereunder; and
3. Any records describing the standards that must be satisfied for the "collection," "acquisition," or "interception" of communications, as the agency defines these terms, pursuant to the Agency's authority under EO 12,333 or any regulations issued thereunder.

We cannot accept your FOIA request in its current form because it would require the Agency to perform an unreasonably burdensome search. The FOIA requires requesters to "reasonably describe" the information they seek so that professional employees familiar with the subject matter can locate responsive information with a reasonable amount of effort. Commonly this equates to a requirement that the documents must be locatable through the indexing of our various systems. Extremely broad or vague requests or requests requiring research do not satisfy this requirement.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Michele Meeks".

Michele Meeks
Information and Privacy Coordinator