

HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

ABDIQAFAR WAGAFE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DONALD TRUMP, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C17-94 RAJ

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Defendants' Motions for Leave to Submit Documents *Ex Parte, In Camera*. Dkt. ## 227, 267. Plaintiffs oppose Defendants' Motions, and Defendants have filed Replies. Dkt. ## 239, 254, 270, 271. For the reasons stated below, the Court **GRANTS** Defendants' Motions.

Defendants filed their first Motion in support of their Opposition to Plaintiff's first Motion to Compel (Dkt. # 221) and Cross-Motion for a protective order (Dkt. # 226). In their first Motion to Compel, Plaintiffs seek additional productions of "why" information, including A Files. Dkt. # 221. Defendants seek to file declarations to file *ex parte* and *in camera* declarations from Jay S. Tabb, Jr., Executive Assistant Director of the National Security Branch of the Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"), Matthew D. Emrich, Associate Director of the Fraud Detection and National Security ("FDNS") Directorate,

1 U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (“USCIS”), Department of Homeland  
2 Security (“DHS”), and Matthew C. Allen, Assistant Director, Domestic Operations,  
3 Homeland Security Investigations (“HSI”), an agency in DHS. Dkt. # 227. Defendants  
4 contend that these declarations contain both “classified” and “sensitive nonpublic  
5 explanations of the harms and risks that can be expected to result if information  
6 Defendants have withheld from production were disclosed outside the U.S. government.”  
7 *Id.* at 2. Defendants have also filed public versions of the Tabb and Allen Declarations.  
8 Dkt. # 226-2, Exs. D, F.

9 Defendants filed the second Motion in support of their Opposition to Plaintiff’s  
10 Motion to Compel Documents Withheld Under the Law Enforcement/Deliberative  
11 Process Privilege. Dkt. ## 260, 266. In this Motion to Compel, Plaintiffs seek  
12 production of unredacted copies of 25 documents that Defendants are withholding on the  
13 basis of, inter alia, the law enforcement privilege. Dkt. # 260. In support of their  
14 Opposition, Defendants seek to submit a Declaration of Timothy P. Groh, Deputy  
15 Director for Operations of the Terrorist Screening Center, who attests to the potential  
16 harm that may occur to that agency should certain information contained in these  
17 documents be disclosed. Defendants have filed a public version of Mr. Groh’s  
18 declaration (Dkt. # 266-1, Ex. D), and seek leave to file a more expansive version under  
19 seal to permit the Court to properly evaluate Defendants’ privilege claims on this basis.  
20 Dkt. # 267. Defendants submit that Mr. Groh’s declaration contains law enforcement  
21 sensitive information regarding the TSDB and FBI’s watchlisting processes, and it is thus  
22 necessary for the Court to evaluate the privilege claims *ex parte* in order to protect that  
23 information. *Id.*

24 The Court has attempted to endeavor to create as complete a public record as  
25 possible. The Court is also concerned with allowing Defendants to establish a general  
26 practice of filing supporting material for motions *ex parte* and *in camera*, and  
27 understands Plaintiffs’ frustration with the potential unfairness of the process. However,

1 in these limited instances, the Court is satisfied that it must review the Declarations at  
2 issue because they will inform what relief, if any, should be afforded Plaintiffs in  
3 connections with their discovery motions. While the Court agrees with Plaintiffs, again,  
4 that these privilege claims could have been raised sooner, the Court has already issued  
5 appropriate sanctions for Defendants' discovery-related behavior. Dkt. # 223. Given the  
6 articulated potential harm of public disclosure to agency internal processes, and the  
7 disclosure of several public versions of the Declarations, the Court concludes that it is  
8 appropriate to review the subject declarations *in camera* in this instance. For these  
9 reasons, the Court **GRANTS** Defendants' Motions to file the Declarations of Mr. Groh,  
10 Mr. Tabb, Mr. Emrich, and Mr. Allen *ex parte* and *in camera*. Dkt. ## 227, 267.

11  
12 Dated this 3rd day of June, 2019.

13  
14 

15 The Honorable Richard A. Jones  
16 United States District Judge