

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
1	C06800690	This document is a signed letter to Senator Heinrich authored by an Agency Official. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses).	4/24/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP (Released in Part)
2	C06800740	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel and Agency personnel and the media regarding media engagement concerning a prospective article written about Ms. Haspel as the Acting CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, official titles, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional inter-agency deliberations. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations related to engagement with the media. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the email address of the journalist.	4/26/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
3	C06800815	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel and the media providing written material regarding Ms. Haspel's nomination for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, official titles, and telephone numbers).	4/4/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
4	C06801031	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel and the media regarding a CIA letter sent to Senate members. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, official title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the email address of the journalist.	4/25/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
5	C06801316	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel regarding a meeting with Senator Rubio. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were	4/11/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
6	C06801447	This document consists of an email exchange between CIA personnel and the media regarding the Agency's review of a written article concerning Ms. Haspel. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the names and email addresses of senate staff members.	5/8/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
7	C06801574	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel and Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) personnel regarding a statement provided by the Director (DNI) and Principal Deputy Director (PDDNI) of ODNI in support of Ms. Haspel's nomination for	5/6/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the identifying information of ODNI personnel (names, email addresses, official titles, and telephone numbers).				
8	C06801629	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel and the media for the provision of Ms. Haspel's unclassified career timeline and inquiry concerning her confirmation process. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, official title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the journalist's telephone number.	5/1/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
9	C06801668	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel and the media concerning a written article about Ms. Haspel's confirmation. Exemption (b)(3) (National	4/25/2018	3	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
10	C06801751	<p>Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (name and email address). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the journalist's telephone number.</p> <p>This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel and Senate staff members regarding Ms. Haspel's confirmation hearing preparation. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(6) also protects personal identifying information for Ms. Haspel and the names and email addresses of Senate staff personnel.</p>	5/4/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
11	C06801804	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel and the news media regarding Ms. Haspel's appearance before the U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (name, email address, official title, and telephone number).	5/8/2018	1	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
12	C06801845	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel and the news media concerning Senator Paul's comments regarding CIA Director nominee Ms. Haspel. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (email address and telephone number).	3/15/2018	1	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
13	C06801905	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel and the news media initiating interviews of individuals in support of Ms. Haspel's nomination. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information	4/30/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(6)	RIP

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
14	C06802028	revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, official title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the email address of the news media journalist.	4/6/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
15	C06802678	This document contains an internal email communication between Agency personnel regarding the authenticity of an article written about Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses).	6/5/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(6)	RIP

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

<b>Entry</b>	<b>CADRE No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Date of Doc.</b>	<b>No. of Pages</b>	<b>Exemptions Cited</b>	<b>Disposition</b>
16	C06848233	This document consists of an email from Agency personnel to a news media journalist providing an article written about Ms. Haspel. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses).	3/22/2018	3	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	RIP
17	C06799776	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel circulating a revision of an internal draft document about Ms. Haspel's career and as the nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O.	4/20/2018	1	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	Denied In Full (DIF)



ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
18	C067999859	<p>13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) was invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between Agency personnel concerning revisions to a draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	5/10/2018	6	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
19	C06799863	This document consists of an email exchange between a Senior Agency official and Agency personnel discussing whether to conduct news media engagement and intra-agency outreach. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA	4/4/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
20	C06799879	<p>personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional correspondence concerning whether to conduct press engagement and inter-agency outreach on a particular issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	4/28/2018	37	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
21	C06799893	invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions concerning a proposed response to Senate requests for information. This document contains draft language for a response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues.	5/8/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
22	C06799899	<p>identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, location, telephone number, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional deliberations related to a request for review and comment concerning a draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses).</p>	5/8/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		<p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding a draft factsheet written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that was received from the White House for review and an attachment of the draft document. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security).</p>				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
23	C06799903	<p>Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, telephone number, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional deliberations related to a request for review and comment concerning a draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses).</p>	5/10/2018	7	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		<p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding a draft factsheet written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that was received from the White House for review and an attachment of the draft document. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence</p>				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
24	C06799905	<p>sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, telephone number, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional deliberations related to a request for review and comment concerning proposed edits to a draft document. The attachment contains track changes. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses).</p> <p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding a draft factsheet written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that was received from the White House for review and an attachment of the draft</p>	5/13/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
25	C06799916	document. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, telephone number, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional deliberation related to a request for review and comment concerning a draft document and proposed edits for consideration . The attachment contains track changes for the draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and the White House on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel (names and email addresses).	5/7/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF



ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
26	C06799945	<p>Director's Office personnel seeking approval on the use and revision of a particular draft proposed article written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, telephone numbers and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional correspondence concerning a draft document. This document contains internal agency pre-decisional deliberations regarding the use of a particular document in furtherance of an objective. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	4/23/2018	52	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
27	C06799948	protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, office locations, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. The attached document contains draft talking points with track changes. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues.	5/8/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		This document consists of an email between Agency personnel circulating revisions of a draft document about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that was received from the White House with draft document attached. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, office locations, telephone				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
28	C067999953	This document consists of an email between Agency personnel circulating the revisions to draft documents about Ms. Haspel's career and as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft documents attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, methods, and covert locations).	4/25/2018	11	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This email contains draft documents and track changes with proposed recommendations and edits to the draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on particular issues.				
29	C06799957	This document consists of an email between Agency personnel providing recommendations regarding draft language for a written document about Ms. Haspel's career and as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert	4/15/2018	3	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
30	C067999962	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel concerning a draft document about Ms. Haspel's career for public release. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, methods). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect	4/19/2018	2	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
31	C06799989	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel concerning draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with draft responses attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel	4/27/2018	5	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
32	C06799994	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel forwarding Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for a response. This email also attached documents pertaining to the inquiries for reference. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activities or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3)	4/27/2018	44	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
33	C067999996	(CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to responses to Senate requests for information. This document contains assessments concerning how to respond to the Senate inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues.	5/8/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF



ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
34	C06800002	This document consists of an email exchange between Senior CIA Officials providing a letter in response to a Senator's inquiry and a completed Senate Questionnaire. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods	5/5/2018	21	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
35	C06800012	<p>(intelligence activities, intelligence methods, covert locations, information security). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, and email addresses) Exemption (b)(6) also protects personal identifying information for Ms. Haspel and the names and email addresses of Senate staff personnel.</p>	5/11/2018	93	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		<p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel concerning draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with documents attached for reference. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, code words, classification and dissemination control markings). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect</p>				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
36	C06800025	This document consists of various email exchanges between Agency personnel and CIA attorneys concerning draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency	1/27/2014	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
37	C06800041	<p>deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments of proposed draft responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p>	5/4/2018	12	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		<p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel concerning White House review of draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft responses attached. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email</p>				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
38	C068000069	<p>addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional deliberations between the Agency and the White House. This document contains draft responses with track changes and assessments of the proposed language. Disclosure of this document would reveal Agency and White House deliberations on particular issues. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect identifying information of White House personnel.</p>	4/28/2018	10	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		<p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel forwarding Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for a response. This email also attached documents pertaining to the inquiries for reference. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence methods). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and</p>				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		(b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to responses to Senate requests for information. This document contains assessments concerning how to respond to the Senate inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues.				
39	C06800082	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel forwarding draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for a response. This email also attached the draft response. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (information security).	4/30/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
40	C06800098	<p>Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for a response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the names and email addresses of Senate staff members.</p>	4/30/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		<p>This document consists of an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing recommendations for a draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to</p>				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
41	C06800100	<p>protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments of a proposed draft response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p> <p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel providing a draft response to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA</p>	4/27/2018	9	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF



ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
42	C06800102	<p>Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations concerning a proposed response to a Senate inquiry. This document contains a draft language for a proposed response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	4/27/2018	7	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		<p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with draft responses attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(C) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect</p>				

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
43	C06800105	<p>identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for a proposed response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	4/27/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		<p>This document consists of an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing a draft response to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to</p>				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
44	C06800107	This document consists of an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing a draft response to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations concerning	4/30/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
45	C06800455	<p>a proposed response to Senate requests for information. This document contains recommendations and assessments of proposed draft responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p> <p>This document is an email exchange from an Agency employee to senior Agency employees providing a draft document regarding Ms. Haspel's opening statement before the U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence for review with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains a draft document</p>	5/8/2018	3	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
46	C06800477	This document consists of an email exchange between a CIA attorney and a senior CIA attorney providing recommendations for a draft response to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document assessments and	4/27/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
47	C06800517	<p>recommendations regarding a draft response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel concerning how to respond to an internal request for information regarding Ms. Haspel in support of her nomination for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (covert personnel, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains assessments and</p>	3/29/2018	4	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
48	C06800575	<p>recommendations regarding the request for information. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency component personnel providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with the draft responses attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions concerning a proposed response</p>	4/27/2018	7	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
49	C06800584	This document consists of various email exchanges between CIA attorneys concerning draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments of proposed draft	4/30/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF



ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
50	C06800594	<p>responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p> <p>This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency officials providing recommendations for draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal</p>	5/3/2018	7	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
51	C06800597	This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency officials concerning a draft document regarding Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language	4/8/2018	9	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
52	C06800599	This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency officials providing draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal	4/15/2018	6	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
53	C06800698	This document is an email communication between Agency personnel regarding media outreach. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains discussion proposing media engagement on a particular topic. Disclosure of this document would reveal preliminary internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	3/28/2018	3	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
54	C06800736	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel regarding draft responses to a media inquiry. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and	4/24/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
55	C06801091	<p>(b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect internal Agency deliberations. The document contained draft language and assessments of the draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal preliminary internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document contains email communications between Agency personnel regarding draft talking points concerning Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director with draft talking points attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. The email contains recommendations and assessments for the draft attached document. The attachment contains draft</p>	3/16/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
56	C06801321	This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency officials and White House officials providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review with attached draft responses. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions concerning proposed responses to Senate requests for information. The attached document contains track changes to draft language for responses to inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and White House on particular issues.	5/14/2018	69	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
57	C06801335	This document contains email communications between	4/19/2018	3	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
58	C06801441	<p>Agency personnel discussing recommendations for a proposed response to a media inquiry. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations regarding a draft response. This document contains proposed recommendations and edits to a draft written response to a media inquiry. Disclosure of this document would reveal pre-decisional internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document contains email communications between Agency personnel discussing proposed media talking points with attached draft document. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-</p>	3/16/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
59	C06801443	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel discussing proposed media talking points regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains proposed talking points and assessment of the proposed language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	3/15/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
60	C06801449	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel discussing recommendations and	4/27/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF



**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
61	C06801453	<p>assessments for a draft letter regarding Ms. Haspel's position as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	4/25/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		<p>This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel providing comments on and circulating a draft response to a media inquiry. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) was invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains comments and a draft response. Disclosure of this</p>				

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

<b>Entry</b>	<b>CADRE No.</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Date of Doc.</b>	<b>No. of Pages</b>	<b>Exemptions Cited</b>	<b>Disposition</b>
		document would reveal internal Agency deliberations.				
62	C06801584	This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel providing comments on and circulating a draft response to a media inquiry. Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains comments and a draft response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations.	4/17/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
63	C06801825	This document contains email communications between Agency personnel discussing revisions to proposed media talking points regarding Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5)	3/15/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
64	C06801850	<p>was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains proposed talking points. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	3/14/2018	40	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		<p>This document consists of email communications from a CIA Attorney to a Senior CIA Attorney providing a legal position on an issue concerning how to respond to a media inquiry. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (covert personnel). Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and</p>				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
65	C06801857	<p>deliberations between the Office of General Counsel (OGC) personnel concerning how to respond media inquiry. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p>	3/14/2018	3	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		<p>This document consists of email communications between CIA Attorneys regarding a classification issue. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, telephone numbers,</p>				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
66	C06801861	and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document reflects internal agency discussions about the classification of specific information. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	3/30/2018	4	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		This document consists of email communications from a CIA Attorney to a Senior Agency official discussing a legal position on a particular Agency specific issue. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemption (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
67	C06801923	<p>(b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document reflects internal agency discussions and legal assessments regarding a particular issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of legal advice provided by OGC attorneys that was solicited by an Agency component.</p>	4/20/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
68	C06801976	identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, Agency personnel contact information, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document reflects internal agency discussions and legal assessments regarding a particular issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	5/11/2018	7	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		This document consists of an email communication from a CIA Attorney to a Senior CIA Attorney providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
69	C06802079	<p>under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for responses to inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	4/27/2018	11	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF



ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
70	C06802090	(dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for responses to inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	5/11/2018	9	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		This document consists of an email communication from a Senior CIA Attorney to a CIA Attorney providing recommendations for draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review and the attached draft responses. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
71	C06802092	<p>activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. The document contains comments, recommendations and track changes regarding draft language for responses to inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document consists of an email communication from a CIA Attorney to a Senior CIA Attorney regarding the review of draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director for review and the attached draft responses. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is</p>	5/11/2018	18	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
72	C06802097	<p>classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for responses to Senate inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	4/30/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
73	C06802099	sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments of proposed draft responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on particular issues. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	4/30/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
74	C06802125	<p>(National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments regarding draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p>	4/27/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>discussing a legal position on a particular issue. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence sources and methods (intelligence methods). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, Agency personnel contact information, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document reflects internal agency discussions and legal assessments regarding a particular issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p>			(b)(6)	

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CIN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
75	C06802140	<p>This document consists of email communications between Agency personnel and a CIA Attorney regarding a classification issue. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, Agency personnel contact information, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document reflects internal agency discussions about the classification of specific information. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	3/14/2018	2	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
76	C06802275	<p>This document consists of an email communication between Agency personnel regarding recommendations and review of a draft biographical document regarding Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director for publication. The draft document is attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains comments, recommendations and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	3/20/2018	10	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF



ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
77	C06802284	This document consists of email exchanges between Senior CIA Officials regarding discussion and providing recommendations concerning a draft document on a particular issue concerning the public release of information. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers, official title, telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between Agency personnel concerning a particular issue. Disclosure would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	3/29/2018	7	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
78	C06802288	This document consists of email exchanges between Senior CIA Officials regarding discussion and providing recommendations concerning a draft document on a particular issue concerning the public release of information. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers, official title, telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between Agency personnel concerning a particular issue. Disclosure would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	3/29/2018	7	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
79	C06802319	<p>This document consists of an email communication between Agency personnel regarding recommendations and review of a draft biographical document regarding Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director for publication. The draft document is attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers, official title, office location, telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between Agency personnel concerning a particular issue. Disclosure would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	3/20/2018	10	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
80	C06802321	<p>This document consists of email exchanges between Senior CIA Officials, including Agency counsel, regarding discussion and providing recommendations concerning a draft document on a particular issue about the public release of information with the draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence methods, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, Agency identification numbers, official title, office location, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to the information contained within a draft document. This Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency</p>	3/30/2018	13	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
81	C06802326	<p>deliberation on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p> <p>This document consists of email exchanges between Senior Agency personnel providing recommendations for a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry with the draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to the draft document. This document</p>	3/30/2018	9	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
82	C06802328	<p>contains recommendations and assessments of the draft response. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberation on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document consists of email exchanges between Senior Agency personnel providing recommendations for a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses, and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to the draft document. This document contains recommendations, assessments and draft language.</p>	3/30/2018	4	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
83	C06802390	<p>Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberation on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document consists of email exchanges between Senior Agency personnel providing recommendations for a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry with draft letter attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address, and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to the draft document. This document contains recommendations, assessments, track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberation on a particular issue.</p>	4/7/2018	2	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
84	C06802397	<p>This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel providing proposed edits and comments for a draft document written for Ms. Haspel as CIA Director nominee in</p>	4/18/2018	15	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
85	C06802455	<p>preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to public release. The draft document is attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address, Agency and Agency identification numbers, official title). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to the draft document. This document contains track changes and proposed edits. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberation on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document consists of an email exchange between Senior CIA attorneys discussing an assessment of a particular Agency specific legal issue. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities).</p>	3/22/2018	2	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF



ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
86	C06802458	Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains legal recommendations and assessments regarding a particular issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.	4/3/2018	8	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		This document consists of email exchanges between Agency personnel commenting on revisions and proposed edits for letters written in response to a Senator's inquiry with letters attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act)				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activity, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains assessments and revisions made to a document prior to its finalization. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>				
87	C06802502	<p>This document consists of email exchanges between Senior CIA Attorneys providing proposed edits to a letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA</p>	4/17/2018	3	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
88	C06802507	<p>personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers, position title, and telephone number). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes, recommendations, and proposed edits. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberation on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p> <p>This document contains email exchanges between a Senior Agency employee and a CIA attorney discussing whether to document the basis for a particular decision. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification number and contact information). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-</p>	4/18/2018	3	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
89	C06802574	<p>decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and assessments for a particular issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p> <p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director with draft document attached. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names , Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone</p>	4/27/2018	11	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
90	C06802578	<p>numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendation and draft responses to Senate inquiries. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel providing draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal</p>	4/27/2018	9	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
91	C06802583	<p>Agency deliberations. This document contains draft responses. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document consists of email from a Senior CIA Attorney to Agency component personnel regarding the classification of particular information. Agency officials circulating talking points for an upcoming meeting. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity and intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification number and email address). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. The document contains deliberations on a particular piece of</p>	6/5/2018	2	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
92	C06802652	<p>information. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document consists of an email exchange between Senior Agency personnel providing recommendations and assessments for draft responses to a Senate inquiry addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between Agency personnel concerning draft responses to a Senate inquiry. Disclosure of this document</p>	4/27/2017	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
93	C06802674	<p>would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document consists of email exchanges by Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, regarding the preliminary classification review of a document prepared for release. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains the proposed preparation of a document for release and a legal assessment regarding said preparation.</p>	6/5/2018	16	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF



**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
94	C06802677	<p>Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p> <p>This document consists of email exchanges by Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, regarding the preliminary classification review of a document prepared for release with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to</p>	6/5/2018	16	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
95	C06802707	<p>protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains the proposed preparation of a document for release and a legal assessment regarding said preparation. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p>	3/29/2018	15	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains the proposed preparation of a document for release and a legal assessment regarding said preparation. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p>				
96	C06802711	<p>This document consists of email exchanges by Agency personnel regarding the preliminary classification review of a document prepared for release. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified</p>	3/23/2018	24	<p>(b)(1)  (b)(3) CIA Act  (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act  (b)(5)  (b)(6)</p>	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
97	C06802799	<p>under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations regarding possible release of the draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	4/27/2018	10	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
98	C06802813	<p>Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p>	3/28/2018	6	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
		<p>This document consists of email exchanges by Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, regarding the preliminary classification review of a document prepared for release. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or</p>				

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
99	C06802819	<p>sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations Agency personnel concerning a particular issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p>	3/30/2018	16	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
100	C06802898	<p>under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email address and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains the proposed preparation of a document for release and a legal assessment regarding said preparation. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p>	4/27/2018	7	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
		<p>responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal CIA attorney deliberations. This document contains recommendations, proposed edits, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these written exchanges, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p>			(b)(6)	



**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
101	C06802911	<p>This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel, including Agency counsel, seeking legal advice regarding draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, office location, official titles, telephone numbers, and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect this</p>	4/27/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
102	C06802913	<p>document, which consists of legal advice provided by a CIA attorney that was solicited by an Agency component.</p> <p>This document is an email from a CIA attorney to Agency personnel providing legal advice and recommendations regarding draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. Exemption (b)(3) (National Security Act) was invoked to protect information revealing specific intelligence sources and methods (dissemination control marking). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains draft responses and recommendations. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on</p>	4/27/2018	10	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
103	C06802920	<p>a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was asserted to protect this document, which consists of legal advice provided by an OGC attorney that was solicited by an Agency component.</p> <p>This document is an email exchange between CIA attorneys providing comments and recommendations for a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry with draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes, comments, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal</p>	04/17/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
104	C06802946	<p>assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p> <p>This document consists of email exchanges between CIA attorneys providing recommendations and comments for a draft document regarding a specific issue with the draft document attached. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and comments between Agency personnel concerning a legal issue and track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a</p>	4/19/2018	6	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
105	C06802948	<p>particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of a legal assessment provided by a CIA attorney.</p> <p>This document consists of email exchanges between CIA attorneys providing legal advice and recommendations for draft language regarding a draft letter with the draft letter attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and official titles, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between OGC personnel concerning a legal issue. Additionally, this document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. The attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions,</p>	4/18/2018	8	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
106	C06802950	<p>which consist of legal advice provided by a CIA attorney.</p> <p>This document consists of email exchanges between CIA attorneys providing legal advice and recommendations for draft language regarding a draft letter with documents for reference attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and official titles, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between OGC personnel concerning a legal issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of legal advice provided by a CIA attorney.</p>	4/19/2018	91	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
107	C06802952	<p>This document consists of email exchanges between CIA attorneys providing legal advice and recommendations for draft language regarding a draft letter with the draft letter attached. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and official titles, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional analysis, recommendations and deliberations between OGC personnel concerning a legal issue. Additionally, this document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. The attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of legal advice provided by a CIA attorney.</p>	4/17/2018	6	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
108	C06802988	This document is an email between Agency personnel providing recommendations for document classification with documents attached for review. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activity, covert location). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, Agency identification numbers, official titles, office locations, email addresses, and telephone numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	5/3/2018	16	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
109	C06849100	This document consists draft responses to Senate inquiries addressed to Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director.	4/28/2018	8	(b)(5)	DIF



**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
110	C06849103	<p>Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document contains draft language and recommendations for an article about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director. The draft document includes information about Ms. Haspel's career for review and edit. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (covert locations, covert personnel). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and official titles). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains</p>	unknown	18	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
111	C06849108	<p>recommendations and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document is a draft letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	3/26/2018	2	(b)(5)	DIF
112	C06849110	<p>This is a draft document regarding information about Ms. Haspel's Agency career for public release. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	Unknown	1	(b)(5)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
113	C06849112	This is a draft factsheet written about Ms. Haspel as the nominee for CIA Director that contains edits and comments for revision prior to publication. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains track changes, comments, proposed edits, and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	05/03/2018	4	(b)(5)	DIF
114	C06849116	This is a draft biographical document regarding Ms. Haspel as nominee for CIA Director document that contains edits and comments for revision prior to publication. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activity, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, functions,	Unknown	4	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
115	C06849125	<p>official titles). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document consists of draft talking points to address Senate inquiries which was drafted for review and advice regarding the matters expressed in the document in preparation for Ms. Haspel's Senate nomination hearings. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains handwritten notes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	4/20/2018	36	(b)(5)	DIF
116	C06849130	<p>This document consists of draft language for a letter drafted by Agency personnel in response to a Senate inquiry. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency</p>	4/24/2018	2	(b)(5)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
117	C06849132	<p>deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document consists of draft language for a letter drafted by Agency personnel in response to a Senate inquiry. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods (intelligence activities, covert locations). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language for a letter. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue. Exemption</p>	4/29/2018	10	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
118	C06849177	(b)(6) was asserted to protect the names of Senate Staff members.  This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	3/28/2018	10	(b)(5)	DIF
119	C06849179	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency	4/6/2018	8	(b)(5)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
120	C06849181	<p>deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	4/6/2018	14	(b)(5)	DIF
121	C06849183	<p>This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency</p>	4/6/2018	8	(b)(5)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
122	C06849185	<p>deliberations on a particular issue.</p> <p>This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	4/16/2018	12	(b)(5)	DIF
123	C06849187	<p>This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains track changes and draft language. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.</p>	5/3/2018	11	(b)(5)	DIF



**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
124	C06849189	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's opening statement in preparation for her Senate nomination hearings prior to finalization. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains draft language for a written document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	5/18/2018	9	(b)(5)	DIF
125	C06849191	This document consists of draft language for Ms. Haspel's statement for the record for the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional intra-agency deliberations. This document contains handwritten notes and draft language for a written document. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal agency deliberations on a particular issue.	5/9/2018	4	(b)(5)	DIF

**ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)**  
**Central Intelligence Agency Vaughn Index**

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
126	C06849195	This document consists of an email exchange between Agency personnel discussing a specific issue regarding whether to include particular information in a publication. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names and email addresses). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional internal Agency deliberations. This document contains recommendations on how to address a specific issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal preliminary internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue.	3/22/2018	4	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF
127	C06849210	This document consists of draft document from CIA Attorneys to a Senior Agency Official providing legal guidance on a particular Agency specific issue. Exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) (National Security Act) applies to certain material that is classified under 1.4(c) of E.O. 13526 and reflects intelligence activity or intelligence sources and methods	4/17/2018	8	(b)(1) (b)(3) CIA Act (b)(3) Nat'l Sec Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
128	C06849452	<p>(intelligence methods). Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, official titles, and Agency identification numbers). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-decisional discussions related to a legal issue. Disclosure of this document would reveal internal Agency deliberations on a particular issue. In addition, the attorney client privilege was also asserted to protect these discussions, which consist of legal advice provided by CIA attorneys that was solicited by Agency officials.</p> <p>This document consists of email exchanges between Agency and White House personnel circulating a draft document written in response to a media inquiry. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, email addresses and contact information). Exemption (b)(5) was asserted to protect pre-</p>	5/8/2018	5	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(5) (b)(6)	DIF

ACLU, et. al. v. CIA, No. 18-2784 (CJN)  
 Central Intelligence Agency *Vaughn* Index

Entry	CADRE No.	Description	Date of Doc.	No. of Pages	Exemptions Cited	Disposition
129	C06849592	<p>decisional discussions related to the draft document. Disclosure of this document would reveal deliberations between the Agency and White House regarding a particular issue. Exemption (b)(6) was asserted to protect the names of White House personnel.</p> <p>This document consists of a letter written in response to a Senator's inquiry with the attachment of an internal Agency personnel document. Exemptions (b)(3) (CIA Act) and (b)(6) were invoked to protect identifying information of CIA personnel (names, organization, functions, and official titles).</p>	5/15/2018	11	(b)(3) CIA Act (b)(6)	DIF